

## Linear Filters (Due Wednesday 10/10)

(Adapted from *A First Course in Wavelets*, Boggess and Narcowich)

A filter takes a signal, processes it in some way (e.g., removes noise), then returns an output signal. Mathematically, a *filter* is a transformation  $L$  that maps a function (signal)  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  into another signal  $\hat{f}$ .

A *linear filter* satisfies  $L[cf + g] = cL[f] + L[g]$  for all real numbers  $c$  and signals  $f$  and  $g$ .

We also typically want a filter to be *time invariant*, meaning that any time-shifted signal  $f(t - a)$  is transformed by  $L$  into the time-shifted output signal  $(Lf)(t - a)$ . That is, you get the same output if you filter then time-shift or if you time-shift then filter.

**Exercise 1** Let  $\ell(t) = \chi_{[0,1]}(t)$ . Define a filter  $L$  via  $(Lf)(t) = (\ell * f)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell(t - x)f(x)dx$ . Prove that this filter is both linear and time-invariant.

**Exercise 2** Define a filter  $L$  via  $(Lf)(t) = \int_0^t f(x)dx$ . Prove that this filter is linear but not time-invariant.

**Theorem 1** Let  $L$  be a linear, time-invariant transformation on the space of signals that are piecewise continuous functions. Then there exists an integrable function  $h$  such that  $Lf = f * h$  for all signals.

The function  $h(t)$  is called the *impulse response function* since if the signal is a single pulse at time  $t = a$  (modeled as a Dirac delta function:  $f(t) = \delta(t - a)$ ), then  $Lf = h * f = h(a)$ . Theorem 1 tells us that designing a filter is equivalent to construction of the impulse response function  $h$ . Let's design a filter (construct an  $h$ ) that reduces high frequencies, which in many signals tends to be noise. Such a filter is called a "low-pass filter." Let's apply a Fourier transform to work in the frequency domain:  $\hat{L}f[\gamma] = \hat{h}(\gamma)\hat{f}(\gamma)$ .

**Exercise 3** As a naive first attempt at designing a filter, let's do the obvious thing and cutoff all frequencies above  $\gamma_{cutoff}$ . What function  $\hat{h}$  will do this? Once you have a choice, find its inverse transform to obtain  $h(t)$ . Apply this new filter to the signal  $f(t) = \chi_{[0,1]}(t)$  and plot the result, which should strike you as very unsatisfactory. For instance, the output signal  $Lf$  is nonzero for  $t < 0$ , so that the output signal starts long before the original signal does!

The result of this exercise leads us to a further condition for a sensible filter. A *causal filter* is one for which the output signal begins after the input signal has started to arrive.

**Theorem 2** Let  $L$  be a time invariant filter with response function  $h$ .  $L$  is a causal filter if and only if  $h(t) = 0$  for all  $t < 0$ .

**Exercise 4** An old noise-reducing filter is the Butterworth filter, with  $h(t) = Ae^{-\alpha t}$  if  $t \geq 0$  and  $h(t) = 0$  if  $t < 0$ . Find the Fourier transform of  $h$  and explain how this filter reduces noise (that is, what happens to high frequencies).

**Exercise 5** Let  $f(t) = e^{-t/3}(\sin 2t + 2 \sin 4t + 0.4 \sin 2t \sin 40t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ , and zero otherwise. Plot this signal and the output signal from the Butterworth filter with  $A = \alpha = 10$ . How does the filter affect the low ( $\gamma = 2, 4$ ) and high frequency ( $\gamma = 40$ ) components of this signal?