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ABSTRACT

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has provided an unparalleled window into understanding multiple sclerosis (MS). Through recognition of relatively specific characteristics of MS, MRI has become an integral part of patient initial evaluation and long-term management. MRI has now been integrated into the formal diagnostic criteria, whereby new lesions can fulfill either dissemination in space or dissemination in time criteria. Long-term MS therapies significantly reduce the development of new lesions as measured by MRI, and clinical trial methodology now routinely uses MRI as the primary outcome in Phase I/II MS trials. Despite the advantages provided by MRI, conventional imaging indicates only the presence of injury to the central nervous system, providing little information on either the severity of injury or its later recovery. Several advanced imaging methodologies such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) provide a greater dynamic range for evaluating tissue integrity. DTI has provided useful insights into the pathogenesis of MS, both within lesions as well as within the normal white matter which appears normal on conventional imaging. Evidence from animal models suggests that DTI may differentiate axonal injury from demyelination and therefore may be useful in the evaluation of neuroprotective therapies.
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Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory demyelinating disease affecting the brain, spinal cord, and optic nerves. Originally described through pathological studies by Charcot in the nineteenth century, it wasn’t until the development of advanced imaging techniques that the full extent and recurrent nature of MS was appreciated, particularly at the early stages of disease. The hallmark of MS is recurrent demyelination of the central nervous system (CNS), disseminated in space and time. The original diagnostic criteria relied exclusively on clinical relapses to fulfill the dissemination requirement, but more recent diagnostic criteria integrate imaging into the formal diagnostic process. Multiple sclerosis causes characteristic changes on brain and spine imaging, and these characteristics can be helpful in differentiating MS from other disorders. Many imaging reports of MS will list demyelination in the differential diagnosis of any scan with T2 lesions, regardless of lesion characteristics and location. A greater appreciation of the classic imaging characteristics of MS and their relevance to the disease process can help the clinician in both the accurate diagnosis and successful management of patients with MS. This article will discuss the different imaging characteristics of MS and their relevance to its diagnosis and long-term management.
Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) improves our ability to make an accurate diagnosis of MS and manage the disease over time, advanced imaging methods provide an even greater ability to understand the degree of tissue injury, its recovery over time, and its later degeneration. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a method to measure the three-dimensional diffusion of water, which is altered in areas of tissue injury. This article will also describe the application of DTI to MS and illustrate its potential utility in the assessment of neuroprotective therapies.

CONVENTIONAL IMAGING FINDINGS IN MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS

Brain Lesions
The most sensitive imaging modality in the evaluation of MS is MRI. Computed tomogram (CT) scans can sometimes show hypodensity within some MS lesions which have severe destruction, but its limited discrimination between healthy and demyelinated tissue renders CT a poor tool in the evaluation for MS.

T2 Lesions
Inflammation and demyelination within the CNS causes prolongation in the T2 relaxation time, which manifests as bright signal on T2-weighted images such as fast spin echo (FSE). In MS, these “T2 lesions” are typically round or ovoid, varying in size from a few millimeters to many centimeters in diameter. Although MS lesions can occur throughout the brain, there is a particular predilection for the periventricular region, particularly the corpus callosum (Fig. 1). In contrast to central corpus callosal involvement of Susac’s syndrome,1 MS lesions within the corpus callosum typically extend radially from the ventricular (or inner) surface of the corpus callosum in a pattern called Dawson’s fingers. Subcortical and deep cerebral white matter regions are also commonly involved in MS, although the latter is relatively non-specific for MS (Fig. 1). The brainstem and cerebellum also are commonly involved in MS (Fig. 2). Deep gray matter regions such as the basal ganglia and thalamus can be affected by MS, although this is less common than involvement with white matter regions.

Where recurrent lesions affect the same brain region, confluent lesions develop (Fig. 3). These confluent lesions are particularly common anterior and posterior to the lateral ventricle. In the later stages of MS, this confluence can involve the entire hemisphere in one large confluent T2 lesion. Vasogenic edema is characterized by the indiscrete (or “fuzzy”) extension of T2 signal into the surrounding white matter, particularly beyond the border of gadolinium enhancement. Vasogenic edema is uncommon in most MS lesions, but occasionally can be seen in large lesions and those with avid gadolinium enhancement (Fig. 4).

Dual spin echo imaging techniques yield a proton density image, which provides better contrast between MS lesions and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). Several other pulse sequences further improve the appreciation of MS lesions, including fast or turbo spin echo, double-inversion recovery (DIR), and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR).2 A distinct advantage of FLAIR and DIR images is their suppression of CSF, which allows greater appreciation of periventricular and subcortical lesions (Fig. 1). A disadvantage of FLAIR and DIR is their reduced contrast for lesions in the brainstem and cerebellum, which is best seen on proton density images.

Nonspecific foci of hyperintensities on T2-weighted images are common in the deep white matter, particularly in older patients and those with vascular risk factors and migraine. In a patient with an otherwise negative history for MS, deep white matter T2 lesions which spare the periventricular, subcortical, and posterior fossa regions generally need no further evaluation.
Figure 1  Axial (A) T2, (B) FLAIR, and (C) T1-weighted, and sagittal (D) FLAIR images from a typical multiple sclerosis patient. Many periventricular lesions are seen (arrows), which are better appreciated on FLAIR images. Many lesions (arrows) are also dark on T1 images, but not all (arrowhead). Sagittal FLAIR images provide the best appreciation of Dawson’s fingers, in which lesions extend radially from the ventricular surface into the surrounding white matter (D, double arrows). FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery.
off-resonance magnetization transfer (MT) sequence.\textsuperscript{7,8} To accurately appreciate the presence of gadolinium-enhancing lesions, a post-gadolinium image that includes MT should have a corresponding pre-gadolinium image that also includes MT. Application of an MT sequence to only the post-gadolinium images can make it difficult to recognize whether bright areas represent gadolinium enhancement or simply increased signal from the added MT sequence.

**Spinal Cord Lesions**

The spinal cord parenchyma is commonly involved in MS. Similar to the brain, lesions are typically round or ovoid on T2-weighted images and usually are limited to one to two spinal cord segments (Fig. 6). Eighty percent of cord lesions involve less than half of the cross-sectional area of the cord, and the majority are centered on either the lateral or posterior portion of the cord.\textsuperscript{9} Lesions can be central or bilateral in the cord, but typically are unilateral. Inflammatory edema can lead to short-term cord expansion. Also similar to the brain, gadolinium enhancement indicates areas of active inflammation and secondary breakdown of the blood-brain barrier.

Conventional T2 images provide only limited contrast between MS lesions and normal cord tissue. Proton density imaging increases this contrast, and short tau inversion recovery (STIR) imaging provides even greater contrast (Fig. 7). Spine imaging protocols evaluating for demyelination should include sagittal STIR and fast spin echo T2 and axial fast spin echo T2 pulse sequences to maximize contrast between inflammatory lesions and normal tissue. Pathological studies of the spinal cord have observed significantly greater demyelination than that seen on the corresponding MRI obtained postmortem.\textsuperscript{10} This observation contrasts with brain MRI, where demyelination is not seen outside of T2 lesions.\textsuperscript{3} The decreased sensitivity in MRI detection of demyelinated lesions within the spinal cord emphasizes the importance of using high-field (i.e., 1.5T or greater) magnets and appropriate pulse sequences when imaging the spinal cord. Open MRI systems generally should be avoided when evaluating any part of the CNS for MS, particularly the spinal cord.

**Optic Nerve**

MS lesions affecting the optic nerve can sometimes be seen on MRI. Robust signal from the surrounding ocular fat overwhelms signal from the small optic nerve on conventional T1-weighted and T2-weighted images such as FSE, proton density, and FLAIR. This problem is solved with STIR and T1-weighted fat suppressed techniques.\textsuperscript{11}
Brain Atrophy
Pathologic studies have observed an average of 11,000 transected axons/mm$^3$. Therefore, it is no surprise that atrophy of the brain and spinal cord is common in patients with MS. Brain atrophy can be appreciated as enlargement of the lateral and third ventricles as well as widening of the cortical sulci (Fig. 3). Brain atrophy is observed at the earliest stages of MS and is best appreciated with quantitative image analysis software. The relevance of brain atrophy is illustrated by its

Figure 4 Axial (A, B) T2-weighted and (C, D) post-gadolinium T1-weighted images from a multiple sclerosis patient with vasogenic edema. Note the fuzzy border of T2 signal change (arrows), which extends beyond the limits of gadolinium enhancement.
Figure 5  Axial (A) FLAIR and (B) T1-weighted images showing a T1 black hole which is mixed hypo-/hyperintense on FLAIR (A, arrows). FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery.

Figure 6  Sagittal (A) T2-weighted and (B) post-gadolinium T1-weighted images from a patient with multiple sclerosis, showing a discrete, enhancing T2 lesion (arrows). In addition, a nonenhancing lesion is seen in the medulla (A, arrowhead).
correlation with the discrete lesions, particularly T1 holes.\textsuperscript{16–19}

The clinical implications of brain atrophy are significant. Brain atrophy correlates with clinical disability, although the magnitude of these correlations is only modest.\textsuperscript{20} Brain atrophy is predictive of later progressive disability.\textsuperscript{21} Importantly, many standard MS therapies have been shown to slow the progression of brain atrophy over time, which is compelling evidence suggesting a long-term benefit of therapy in altering the course of disease.\textsuperscript{22–24}

CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS
Since the Poser diagnostic criteria\textsuperscript{25} were developed prior to the widespread availability of MRI, it is no surprise that they contain little place for the use of imaging. MRI was finally integrated into the formal diagnostic algorithm in the 2001 McDonald Criteria, where MRI could fulfill dissemination in both space and time.\textsuperscript{26} The 2005 revisions to the McDonald Criteria clarified several aspects regarding the role of imaging within these criteria (Table 1).\textsuperscript{27} These diagnostic criteria require direct review of T2-weighted images, as they require identification of a sufficient number of lesions in specific areas of the brain and spinal cord. Despite the additional benefit provided by MRI, the diagnosis of MS remains a clinical assimilation of patient history, neurological examination, imaging studies, and other appropriate tests, when needed. Multiple sclerosis should not be diagnosed based upon MRI findings alone.

Occasionally, patients present with classic changes of MS on imaging studies, despite no history of a clinical relapse or progressive neurologic symptoms. This situation is becoming increasingly common as nonspecific neurological symptoms are assessed using MRI. Longitudinal follow-up in these patients often identifies new lesions, thus fulfilling "dissemination in time and space" criteria, despite an absence of an original clinical event. Additional testing, such as evoked

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI Criteria for Dissemination in Space and Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRI criteria for dissemination in space require three of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ≥1 gadolinium-enhancing lesion or ≥9 T2 hyperintense lesions in the brain or spine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ≥1 infratentorial brain lesion or spine lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ≥1 juxtacortical lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ≥3 periventricular lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI criteria for dissemination in time require either:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• gadolinium-enhancing lesion ≥3 months after the onset of the initial clinical event and in a different site corresponding to the initial event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a new T2 lesion compared with a reference scan done at least 30 days after the onset of the initial clinical event</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modified from Polman et al.\textsuperscript{27}

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
potentials and CSF studies, can provide further evidence of an ongoing inflammatory disorder. Multiple sclerosis diagnostic criteria do not yet allow for formal diagnosis of MS in this situation, although MS is very likely. There are no longitudinal studies to guide clinical management in these patients. Accumulated neurologic impairment and evidence of ongoing inflammation (i.e., gadolinium enhancement or new T2 lesions) may identify a subset of patients who could benefit from therapy.

**Standardized Imaging Protocol**

The Consortium of MS Centers has issued consensus guidelines on the standard imaging protocols. Diagnostic brain MRIs should include scout, sagittal fast FLAIR, axial FSE proton density or T2, axial fast FLAIR, and axial post-gadolinium (0.1 mmol/kg followed by a 5-minute delay) T1 sequences. Although not part of the formal recommendations, pre-gadolinium T1 images are very useful in the accurate interpretation of gadolinium enhancement. Standard spinal cord pulse sequences include scout, sagittal T1 and FSE proton density/T2, axial FSE proton density/T2, postcontrast sagittal T1, and postcontrast axial T1 through suspected lesions. Where available, sagittal STIR could replace or be added to axial FSE proton density/T2. Treatment with corticosteroids leads to rapid closure of the blood-brain barrier and thus decreased gadolinium enhancement. Therefore, routine clinical MRIs usually should be delayed for 1 month following corticosteroids so that the potential return of gadolinium-enhancing lesions can be appreciated.

**DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS**

When classic recurrent clinical symptoms of MS (i.e., optic neuritis, partial transverse myelitis) present in the context of classic changes on brain and/or spine MRI, the differential diagnosis is extremely limited. Other autoimmune disorders, such as sarcoidosis, Sjögren’s syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and primary CNS vasculitis can present with similar imaging findings to that of MS, but the clinical presentation usually points toward an alternative diagnosis. These clinical features may include an atypical clinical course (i.e., acute onset, prolonged duration of symptoms, or insensitivity to corticosteroids) or additional clinical signs (i.e., pulmonary symptoms in sarcoidosis, or discoid rash and other organ involvement in SLE). For a full review of the differential diagnosis of MS from an imaging perspective, see Charil et al.

Not uncommonly, imaging findings are mild, such as only a few lesions involving the periventricular, subcortical, or posterior fossa regions. In these situations, further diagnostic studies may be useful to confirm MS, including CSF studies, blood serologies, and evoked potentials.

**Acute Disseminated Encephalomyelitis**

A common clinical dilemma is the patient with a monophasic clinical course, where the main differential diagnosis is between acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM) and the first demyelinating event of what will later become MS (also known as a clinically isolated syndrome). Accurate diagnosis is important, since the former requires no long-term treatment, while the latter deserves consideration of long-term MS immunomodulating therapy. ADEM cannot always be differentiated from MS based upon imaging alone, but typically involves more numerous enhancing lesions, a greater proportion of enhancing versus nonenhancing lesions, and fewer chronic lesions during the acute clinical phase (Fig. 8). After resolution of ADEM, its differentiation from MS based on imaging alone is usually very difficult.

**Neuromyelitis Optica**

When inflammatory lesions extend across many spinal cord segments (i.e., ≥ 3), the diagnosis of neuromyelitis optica (NMO, or Devic’s disease) is raised. NMO is a disorder in which inflammatory lesions primarily affect the optic nerves and long segments of spinal cord, sometimes involving the entire cord. In contrast to MS, spinal cord lesions in NMO can be hypointense on T1 images (T1 black holes). When NMO involves the brain, it often causes lesions atypical for classic MS: large, expansile lesions, often extending from the midline with heterogeneous morphology. Previously considered a variant of MS, emerging data over the last several years suggest that NMO is separate and distinct from classic MS.

**CLINICAL RELEVANCE TO THE MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS DISEASE COURSE**

MRI provides an opportunity to measure the extent and severity of MS injury throughout the CNS. New T2, T1, and gadolinium-enhancing lesions can usually be easily counted, and software tools can accurately quantify overall lesion burden. Even brain atrophy can be measured through a variety of automated and semiautomated software. Initial cross-sectional studies found only modest correlations between MRI measures and clinical disability, and this relationship plateaus with increased disability. This limited correlation likely has several explanations, including heterogeneity of pathology within T2 lesions, variable compensatory capability within tissue, and heterogeneity in tissue importance to neurologic function. For example, a lesion in the deep occipital white matter will likely have vastly less (if any) impact on neurologic function than a similarly sized
lesion in the pons, cerebellar peduncle, or spinal cord. Active lesions (either enhancing or new T2 lesions) are a much stronger predictor of future disability, most likely due to their overall gauge of ongoing inflammatory disease activity.\textsuperscript{39,40}

The utility of MRI in patient management is controversial, although the results of longitudinal studies suggest that MRI can be used to assess response to therapies. In one study, new T2 or gadolinium lesions over 1 year was a stronger predictor of disability.

**Figure 8** Axial FLAIR (left column) and post-gadolinium T1 (right column) images from a patient with acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. Most lesions demonstrate gadolinium enhancement, suggesting a similar age to the ongoing inflammatory process. FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery.
Atrophy progression at 2 years than clinical relapses. Atrophy progression and new lesions over 2 years predict disability progression over 13 years, suggesting that therapies which slow progressive brain atrophy will likely benefit clinical disability over a very long period of time. Indeed, enhancing and new T2 lesions are the conventional primary outcomes in clinical trials of new MS therapies. To date, there has not been a Phase II clinical trial of an MS anti-inflammatory therapy where a therapeutic benefit on MRI lesions was not later confirmed in a Phase III trial by a therapeutic benefit on clinical relapses and, where properly powered, clinical disability. Clearly, newly developing lesions on MRI are relevant to both clinical disease and response to therapy.

DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING

Despite significant advantages in studying conventional lesions, these measures have distinct disadvantages. Prolonged T2 relaxation identifies areas of tissue injury, but does not characterize the degree of tissue injury. Similarly, gadolinium enhancement indicates areas of breakdown of the blood-brain barrier, but not the degree of underlying injury. T1 images identify lesions with more significant tissue injury as confirmed in histopathological studies, but methods to standardize T1 hypointensity measurement are still in development. When characterizing brain tissue integrity, the binary classification of each pixel on MRI as either “sick” or “normal” results in a very restrictive dynamic range. This restriction in turn significantly limits how we measure both tissue recovery after injury as well as its later degeneration. Advanced imaging modalities are needed to more accurately characterize the degree of tissue injury and its response to therapies. Several advanced imaging modalities are available to address this need, including MT imaging, spectroscopy, T2 relaxation, and DTI. The remainder of this article will focus on one of these modalities—DTI.

Diffusion Tensor Imaging Background

Diffusion tensor imaging is a quantitative MRI technique that measures the diffusion of water through the application of multiple diffusion gradients. A single diffusion pulse sequence is standard on many imaging protocols, measuring water diffusion in a single direction. Diffusion tensor imaging applies multiple diffusion gradients on sequential pulse sequences from which the three-dimensional diffusion of water molecules within tissue can be calculated. The power of diffusion techniques comes from its measurement of molecular probing of tissue structures by water molecules at a microscopic scale well beyond the typical MR image resolution. By measuring the interaction of water molecules with cell membranes, myelin sheaths, and macromolecules, tissue integrity can be inferred.

Water diffusion within a nonrestricted volume (such as a glass of water) is equal in all directions (or “isotropic”), and so can be represented as a sphere. However, brain tissue has physical structures that limit diffusion. When diffusion is limited in one direction more than another (i.e., along fiber tracts), diffusion is elongated, or “nonisotropic” (Fig. 9). Diffusion within a nonisotropic volume (i.e., tissue with directional geometry) can be represented as a three-dimensional ellipsoid, and so requires a 3 x 3 matrix for a full description of its size and orientation. The ellipsoid can be described by its three main axes (Fig. 9). The longest (or primary) axis of the ellipsoid is represented by the largest eigenvector of the diffusion tensor, and is called the primary eigenvector ($\lambda_1$). In organized white matter (i.e., fiber tracts),
the primary eigenvector is assumed to be oriented parallel to the main fiber tract. The two shorter axes are represented by the second ($\lambda_2$) and third ($\lambda_3$) eigenvectors of the diffusion tensor.

There are four main measures of interest derived from DTI, as follows.

**AXIAL DIFFUSIVITY ($\lambda_3$)**
The primary eigenvector ($\lambda_3$) is thought to represent diffusion along the length of a fiber tract (the left-to-right vector in Fig. 1), and so is referred to as the axial diffusion, or $\lambda_\parallel$ ($\parallel$ referring to diffusion parallel to the fiber tracts). Accordingly, $\lambda_\parallel$ is thought to best represent axonal integrity.

**RADIAL DIFFUSIVITY ($\lambda_\perp$)**
The second ($\lambda_2$) and third ($\lambda_3$) eigenvectors are typically similar in magnitude and are thought to represent diffusion across fibers and myelin (see below). Therefore, these two eigenvectors are averaged together and referred to as the radial diffusivity, or $\lambda_\perp$ ($\perp$ referring to diffusion perpendicular to the fiber tract). Although $\lambda_\perp$ likely represents diffusion across both myelin and axons, $\lambda_\perp$ is thought to best reflect myelin integrity.

**MEAN DIFFUSIVITY**
An overall measure of water diffusion, mean diffusivity (MD) ignores the anisotropic character of diffusion and simply describes the overall amount of diffusion using a scalar. Mean diffusivity is derived mathematically as an average of the three eigenvectors: $\text{MD} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)/3$.

In general, MD is relatively similar throughout white matter, and is slightly increased in gray matter. Within MS lesions, restriction to diffusion is decreased and MD increases (Fig. 10).

**FRACTIONAL ANISOTROPY**
An advantage of DTI over standard diffusion pulse sequences (which measure diffusion in only one direction) is its measure of orientation, or “elongatedness,” of water diffusion. Tightly packed fiber tracks (i.e., pyramidal tracts and corpus callosum) have highly elongated diffusion, while gray matter has minimally elongated diffusion. A common method to describe the degree of diffusion elongatedness is fractional anisotropy (FA), which measures the fraction of the magnitude of overall diffusion that can be ascribed to anisotropic diffusion. FA varies from 0 to 1, with 0 indicating complete isotropic diffusion (i.e., no contribution of anisotropic diffusion) and 1 indicating complete anisotropy. Lattice index is another measure of anisotropy, which integrates the anisotropy of neighboring voxels together, and so may be a more stable anisotropy measure. Within MS white matter lesions, radial diffusion is increased more than longitudinal diffusion, which leads to decreased anisotropy, or decreased FA and lattice index.

Pathologic Specificity of Diffusion Tensor Imaging
Several studies evaluated the pathologic specificity of $\lambda_\parallel$ and $\lambda_\perp$ using animal models of CNS inflammation and provide consistent pathologic evidence that $\lambda_\parallel$ and $\lambda_\perp$ reflect the integrity of axons and myelin, respectively. Diffusion tensor imaging of the spinal cord of mice with experimental allergic encephalomyelitis showed decreased $\lambda_\parallel$ throughout the spinal cord, which correlated with increased staining of antibody against the axonally relevant $\beta$-amyloid precursor protein. In focal regions of myelin loss (as visualized by Luxol fast blue staining), $\lambda_\perp$ was decreased. Retinal ischemia causes wallerian degeneration of the optic nerve, leaving myelin intact for a period of time. Three days after induction of retinal ischemia, DTI of the optic nerve showed a decrease of $\lambda_\parallel$ with no change in $\lambda_\perp$. Subsequent pathology confirmed axonal degeneration without demyelination. Five days after ischemia, there were changes on DTI in both $\lambda_\parallel$ and $\lambda_\perp$, which correlated with both axonal degeneration and demyelination. Systemic administration of cuprizone causes demyelination, followed by remyelination. Diffusion tensor imaging evaluation in cuprizone-treated mice found that changes in $\lambda_\parallel$ paralleled pathologically-confirmed demyelination and remyelination. Shiverer mice have congenital dysmyelination with intact axons. Diffusion tensor imaging studies of shiverer mice found increased $\lambda_\perp$, but normal $\lambda_\parallel$.

Diffusion Tensor Imaging in Multiple Sclerosis
Diffusion tensor imaging identifies tissue injury in patients with MS. In T2 lesions, MD is increased and FA is decreased compared with normal-appearing white matter (NAWM, or isointense tissues on T2-weighted images). MD is further increased and FA further decreased in T1 lesions. Even NAWM demonstrates abnormalities in MD and FA compared with healthy controls. Gray matter also shows abnormalities on DTI, which is of particular note since T2-weighted images are typically normal in the gray matter. The clinical relevance of DTI is demonstrated by the significant correlations between expanded disability status scale and for MD and FA. The functional relevance of DTI was shown through a study of the median longitudinal fasciculus of MS patients with internuclear ophthalmoplegia, where DTI measures of injury to the median longitudinal fasciculus correlated with the degree of ocular dysmotility.

Longitudinal studies have evaluated DTI changes over time. Lesional FA and MD as well as normal-appearing gray matter MD was observed to worsen in a group of MS patients followed for 15 to 18 months. In fact, progressive worsening on DTI can be detected in the NAWM prior to the appearance of new lesions. Diffusion tensor imaging has also been found to be a...
useful tool for monitoring the progression of upper motor neuron pathology in the neurodegenerative disease amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.55

The continuous scale and relative pathologic specificity of DTI makes it an attractive candidate imaging marker of axonal injury, demyelination, and remyelination in MS. Although further studies are needed to fully understand its clinical implications and potential utility, DTI appears to hold potential as a useful marker of tissue integrity in clinical studies of neuroprotective therapies. Potential applications are many, ranging from acute inflammatory injury in relapsing remitting MS to chronic degeneration in primary and secondary progressive MS.
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Figure 10 Diffusion tensor images from a patient with multiple sclerosis. (A) Mean diffusivity shows the overall amount of diffusion; (B) fractional anisotropy shows the amount of anisotropy, or ''elongatedness,'' of diffusion; and (C) colorized primary eigenvector maps illustrate different directions of the primary eigenvector, or fiber tract. Red is left-right; green is up-down; blue is in-out of the page. The arrows indicate the effect of two multiple sclerosis lesions: mean diffusivity is increased (bright), fractional anisotropy is decreased (dark), and the primary fiber direction is disrupted (dark).
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