Oil Field or Sanctuary?

LOCATION:

ARCTIC NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE (ANWR)

ISSUE:

SHOULD THE REFUGE BE OPENED TO DRILLING?

BACKGROUND:

A WILDLIFE PRESERVE SINCE 1960, ANWR TODAY IS ONE OF THE WORLD’S LARGEST PROTECTED AREAS. NOW A 1.5-MILLION-ACRE SWATH OF THE LAND MAY BE TAPPED FOR OIL.

The Porcupine caribou herd grazes in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.
For starters, since the stakes are so high, imagine this slice of coastal Alaska as a deck of cards. Cut the deck in the right place and you could tap open a petroleum reservoir believed to have the greatest onshore potential for production in the United States. It could be measured in billions of barrels—and billions of dollars. Or don’t cut the deck. Let it sit untouched—the biological heart of one of the largest protected natural areas on the face of the Earth, a prize to be measured in the diversity of its wildlife and the melody of its silence. But this is a choice only Congress can make, and it isn’t a gamble to cut and deal or not to cut on the coastal plain of the 19-million-acre Arctic National Wildlife Refuge in northeast Alaska.

Pinched between the Brooks Range and the Beaufort Sea, the plain within the refuge stretches west from the Yukon border more than a hundred miles, a flat expanse of tundra laced with tussock wetlands and braided rivers. In 1980, when the Alaska lands act expanded the refuge to embrace an area the size of South Carolina—and designated 8 million acres of it statutory wilderness—1.5 million acres of the coastal plain was set aside under Section 1002 for study of its environmental value and petroleum potential. Hence the name: the 1002 Area.

In 1987 President Ronald Reagan’s secretary of the interior, Donald Hodel, recommended to Congress that the 1002 be opened for oil and gas leasing, even though interior’s environmental impact statement, prepared by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, found that the “expected displacement and reduction of wildlife populations and natural processes would cause a major reduction in the value of the area as a pristine, natural scientific laboratory.” Wilderness values within the 1002 Area, the report concluded, “would be eliminated.”

If the service’s report wasn’t enough to turn Congress against the Hodel proposal, the grounding of the oil-laden Exxon Valdez in Alaska waters two years later was. Another proposal to drill was advanced in 1991 and soon defeated. In 1995 congressional Republicans attached a drilling provision to a budget bill; President Clinton vetoed it. Finally, earlier this year, President George W. Bush identified the 1002 as a plank in his energy agenda. In Congress the response was not enthusiastic.

One overriding question is whether the refuge’s coastal plain contains enough oil to make its extraction worth both the economic
LAND OF PLENTY

Alaska’s North Slope sustains a rich Arctic ecosystem, including polar bears, musk oxen, and several caribou herds. The region also holds plentiful fossil fuels. The National Petroleum Reserve-Alaska, set aside in the 1920s for its oil and natural gas potential, was re-opened to exploratory drilling in the late 1990s. Prudhoe Bay, the largest oil field in North America, has long been the most productive on the North Slope, at its peak pumping ten million barrels of crude a day south through the 800-mile trans-Alaska pipeline to waiting tankers at Valdez. Some claim that the western edge of the 1002 area could contain a similar bounty.

A STRUGGLE OVER SACRED GROUND

The question of drilling in ANWR repeatedly divides native peoples who have long had ties to the land. The Inupiat, who own territory within the refuge, stand to profit from drilling. But the Gwich’in, who rely on caribou for subsistence, fear that development would disrupt the Porcupine herd’s calving grounds and annual migrations.

DISCOVERIES
- Gas
- Oil
- Oil and gas
- Exploration well
- Other-colored polar bear distribution within
- 1002 area (Beaufort Sea, population 1981–2001)

IN FOCUS

The U.S. consumes petroleum at the rate of 19.4 million barrels a day. About 70 percent of it is imported. That figure is certain to increase if domestic production continues to decline, as it has for a quarter century in the dwindling fields of the lower 48 states, and more recently in Alaska’s largest North Slope reservoirs at Prudhoe Bay and Kuparuk. If oil from the 1002 area were made available by Congress today, production probably would not begin until around 2010. At peak production—probably not until 2030—the field could produce about one million barrels a day. At today’s rate of consumption, that would reduce the current need for imports by only 9 percent.

“Extra domestic crude production of the greatest imaginable amount would still not meet the nation’s demand on imports for at least 40 percent of its petroleum needs,” the Congressional Research Service noted in 1996. “From an energy security perspective, the basic situation would be unchanged, and the nation would still depend heavily on imports.” Later reports have softened that assessment, and President Bush has declared the amount of oil that could profitably be produced from ANWR to be more than enough to offset the quantity imported from Iraq.
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In the exploration phase, the oil industry has

A LIGHTER TOUCH

Since Prudhoe Bay was discovered in the late 1970s, improvements in technology have reduced the environmental impact of oil extraction. New production pads on the North Slope (above) leave a smaller footprint than the old. From a single pad, multilateral drilling can tap more than one pocket, and directional drilling can reach oil deposits four miles away (1). The area occupied by wells (2) and drilling equipment (3) was roughly three times greater than the amount of drilling and production mud today. This means that developers inject less water underground (4).

CRUDE REALITY

Tonnage of barrels per day

*Includes oil imports as well as U.S. crude and natural gas extraction

Using Energy Information Administration data, computer models project that the gap between petroleum consumption and crude oil production in the United States will continue to widen. Assuming ANWR's coastal plain holds ten billion barrels of oil, one model predicts that it could produce 2.5 million barrels of crude oil a day for 90 days—about the same amount of oil as could be used with improved retrofit fuel efficiency. The nation uses 10.4 million barrels of petroleum every day.

ENERGY QUEST
ADVANCING THE SEARCH FOR POWER

Pumping oil out of the ground and into gas tanks is easier and more efficient than ever before, according to the oil industry. Companies are no longer building immense oil-processing facilities such as those at Prudhoe Bay (bottom). Nearly all pipelines are laid above ground, eliminating the need for huge, costly facilities. Oil wells, well pads, and processing facilities are being raised to accommodate local wildlife.

Finding crude has also become a more exact process. Geophysicists use 3D seismic technology to produce images of the Earth's substrata. Sound waves pierce layers of soil and rock, resulting in computer data that reveal a three-dimensional geologic image. By pinpointing the location of oil deposits, the number of drill pads and supporting infrastructure is reduced. "It can be done in an environmentally thoughtful and careful manner," says drilling advocate Alaska Senator Frank Murkowski. Opponents claim that even with advanced technologies the process of extracting crude can still mar the landscape and introduce the risk of oil spills.
said it could minimize impact by operating in winter, on ice pads and over ice roads that disappear in spring thaw, but the Fish and Wildlife Service paints the coastal plain in winter as a virtual Arctic desert. Most lakes freeze to the bottom, and there’s enough river water to freeze into and maintain only 10 miles of ice roads. Moreover, while the Porcupine herd and migratory birds are absent in winter, a significant number of pregnant polar bears are denning in snowbanks along the 1002’s coastal and riverine bluffs. The Fish and Wildlife Service reports: “Maternal polar bears with newborn cubs can be prematurely displaced from their winter dens by oil exploration.”

Finally there is the cultural and political landscape to consider, starting across the border. The Ottawa government strongly opposes drilling in the 1002. Two of Canada’s wildest national parks, Kluane and Mackenzie, abut the U.S. refuge and embrace the second largest internationally protected natural area in North America. The parks provide safe passage for the Porcupine herd to and from calving grounds on the coastal plain. Canada has banned industrial activity in both parks and expects the U.S. to do likewise in the Arctic refuge.

In Alaska most residents like living in an oil-enriched state, which, in lieu of levying an income tax, rewards each individual with an annual dividend from oil-lease revenues (last year’s dividend came in at $1,964 per resident). Along with their governor, legislature, and congressional delegation, Alaskans for the most part are in favor of opening the 1002. Oil is a big employer in the 49th state.

The Native American community in Alaska, however, is deeply divided. South of the Brooks Range, in such places as Arctic Village and Venetie, the Gwich’in Indians view development of the coastal plain as a threat to the caribou herd that supplies them with much of their protein. Subsistence hunters from these and Athapaskan villages in Canada kill and use some 3,000 to 5,000 caribou every year. They view the coastal plain as sacred ground.

But north of the mountains, many Inupiat Eskimos in Kaktovik on Barter Island favor onshore oil leases because they believe the economic opportunities it might bring them—especially if oil is found there. The Inupiat subsist on caribou too, but they also have access to marine mammals such as seals and bowhead whales. The Inupiat view their offshore waters as sacred and oppose drilling there.

A new twist in the tangle of the 1002 developed earlier this year when electrical blackouts began to roll across California, and the Bush administration took that as further evidence that additional supplies of Alaska crude would be needed to avert a pending national energy crisis. But oil and diesel fuels generate less than one percent of California’s in-state power; the top contributor, at more than 30 percent, is natural gas. So it was only natural that the nation’s talk of supply and demand should suddenly shift from oil to gas. And there is plenty of gas on Alaska’s North Slope.

According to a spokesman for British Petroleum, a principal operator on the North Slope, some eight billion cubic feet of natural gas is drawn from existing oil fields there every day and re-injected into the ground because there is no pipeline to carry the gas to U.S. or Canadian markets. Eight billion cubic feet of gas represents more than half of what residential consumers throughout the United States use in a single day.

There was talk of building a gas pipeline out of Alaska through Canada to existing lines in the U.S. even before oil began to flow down that other pipe from Prudhoe to Prince William Sound in 1977. But until recently the market price of gas wasn’t sufficient to justify the construction cost, now estimated at about ten billion dollars. The price may be right today, given the growing need for gas in the lower 48. But construction could delay delivery for at least five years. Meanwhile, there are untapped reservoirs of natural gas in states that already have some capacity for delivery in place.

So the debate drags on. New estimates, New assurances, New alarms. And old uncertainties about the future of that coastal plain.