Abstract

This article explores the social biographies of sea cucumbers and whales’ teeth, challenging a prevalent tendency among scholars to endow objects with abstract essences. It focuses on encounters of value in which the meanings of material possessions fluctuated across cultural and ethnic boundaries. Such moments of contradiction and coalescence had profound environmental and social consequences and suggest new ways that environmental historians might understand the roles of cultural arbitrage and expropriation in the making of the world system. To illustrate these crucial issues, this article discusses the experiences of David Whippy and William Cary, two Nantucket castaways in nineteenth-century Fiji, and it investigates long-term connections that emerged among Nantucket, Fiji, and the broader ecosystems and cultures of
the Pacific Ocean region during the 1800s. Both men were involved in the export of sea cucumbers (genus *Holothuria*) from Fiji to China and the importation of sperm whales’ teeth to Fiji from various parts of the Pacific. The histories of these two commodities offer potent testimonials about cultural and ecological changes during the nineteenth century.

INTRODUCTION

When *North American Review* editor Jared Sparks arrived at Nantucket Harbor in 1826, he was astonished to find “whale ships on every side and hardly a man to be seen on the wharves who had not circumnavigated the globe, and chased a whale, if not slain his victim, in the Broad Pacific.”1 Sparks’s observation encapsulated more than half a century of daily life on the 48-square-mile island. From the 1790s to the 1840s, this crescent-shaped sliver of land off the southern coast of Cape Cod, Massachusetts, was a focal point of North America’s whaling industry. The island sent its first whaling vessel to the Pacific in 1791. Over the next fifty-five years, Nantucket-based crews hunted whales throughout the rich waters of the world’s largest ocean.2

The same year that Sparks visited the North Atlantic whaling community, two Nantucket mariners reunited in the South Pacific. William S. Cary, one of the two sailors, recalled the serendipitous reunion: “I saw a canoe coming from Ambow [Bau], in which was a white man. As they came alongside our canoe the white man reached out his hand and addressed me by my name. I was dumb with astonishment. At last he said, ‘Don’t you know David Whippy?’ ‘Yes,’ I answered, ‘I formerly knew him. He was a townsman of mine and an old playmate.’ ‘Well,’ said he, ‘I am that David Whippy.’”3

Whippy and Cary were castaways in Fiji, brought together by catastrophe and coincidence. In 1824 Captain Peter Dillon of the brig *Calder* had deposited Whippy, a young man consumed by wanderlust, on a Fijian beach to gather tortoise shell, mother-of-pearl, and sandalwood for sale at the bustling entrepôts of Canton and Manila. Dillon failed to return for thirteen years, leaving Whippy to fend for himself.4 The year after Whippy’s arrival, Cary’s whaling vessel, the *Oeno*, struck a coral reef and ran aground on the remote Fijian island of Vatoa. A raiding party of Fijian warriors from nearby Ono Levu Island killed all of the twenty-one members of the crew but Cary, who survived by hiding in a seaside cave for two days. A Vatoan headman discovered the stranded sailor, took pity on him, and welcomed Cary to his village.5

By the time Whippy and Cary reunited in 1826, the two Nantucket-born refugees had ascended Fiji’s social hierarchies,
making their way into the retinues of prominent chieftains for whom they served as translators, advisers, and mercenaries. Whippy had even attained the position of Mata ki Bau, or envoy to the powerful Fijian tribe of Bau. During a period when Fiji rapidly integrated into global networks of commerce and conquest, Whippy and Cary staked their survival on expedient political alliances and dubious profit-making ventures. Like other castaways in Oceania, they functioned as intermediaries between Pacific Island societies and Euro-American visitors, securing labor and resources for trade ships, settling disputes, and arbitrating interactions across divergent systems of exchange. In the process, these two Nantucket sailors participated in the environmental transformation of the South Pacific and served as the forerunners of more widespread cross-cultural encounters to come.

This article uses Whippy’s and Cary’s stories to explore long-term connections that emerged among Nantucket, Fiji, and the broader ecosystems and cultures of the Pacific Ocean region during the nineteenth century. Not only were the economic fortunes of nineteenth-century Nantucket dependent on the environments and societies in and around the Pacific, Nantucketers also saw this faraway ocean as a coherent realm for realizing their aspirations and framing their identities. It is no coincidence that Nantucket’s cobblestone Main Street Square stretches from the Pacific National Bank—founded in 1804

Figure 1. David Whippy, ca. 1860s. According to archivists in Fiji and Nantucket, no photographs of William Cary exist. Credit: Photographer unknown. Courtesy of the Nantucket Historical Association Research Library.
when most of the island’s investments were contingent on the fortunes of Pacific-bound whaleships—200 yards downhill to the Pacific Club, a social organization established in 1854 by twenty-four whaling captains who had spent much of their lives in the Pacific Ocean. Thus a small island community in the North Atlantic was literally and figuratively linked to a distant sea in myriad ways.7

Several decades before the California gold rush of 1849 triggered the migration of at least 650 of Nantucket’s 9,000 residents to North America’s Pacific shores, Nantucket mariners had become heavily involved in the export of sea cucumbers from Fiji to China and the importation of whales’ teeth to Fiji from elsewhere in the Pacific.8 The histories of these two Pacific World commodities offer potent testimonials about cultural and ecological changes during the nineteenth century. In exploring the social biographies of sea cucumbers and whales’ teeth, this article challenges a prevalent tendency among scholars to portray traded goods as autonomous from their historical and spatial contexts. Instead of endowing objects with abstract essences, I focus on encounters of value in which the meanings of material possessions fluctuated across cultural and ethnic boundaries. Such moments of contradiction and coalescence had profound environmental and social consequences for both Fiji and Nantucket. For environmental historians, the concept of encounters of value offers a more nuanced vantage point on the factors motivating and influencing resource exploitation. Such perspectives remain hidden in the realm of neoclassical economics, where rigid models of supply and demand frequently efface historical acts of cross-cultural violence, accommodation, and collaboration that underwrite the making of markets and their attendant environmental effects.9

FOREIGNERS ON FIJIAN SHORES

Since the beginning of the nineteenth century, periodic shipwrecks had brought foreign sailors to Fijian shores.10 After the US brig Eliza wrecked on the Fijian island of Nairai in 1808, a seaman named Charles Savage retrieved muskets and powder from the derelict ship and used his armaments and marksmanship to support the territorial conquests of Naulivou, the vunivalu (paramount chieftain) of Bau Island.11 Following the introduction of firearms to Fiji, Anglo-American mariners became linked to an unprecedented wave of violence in the South Pacific. Between 1828 and 1850 alone, white traders sold at least five thousand muzzle-loading guns to Fijian chiefs.12 These weapons—with their range and killing power—intensified the lethality of warfare between rival tribes. Transplanted sailors, like David Whippy and William Cary, served as soldiers of fortune in a twelve-year contest for regional dominance that pitted the dominant sea power of Bau against the land-based kingdom of Rewa, a
protracted conflict that anthropologist Marshall Sahlins has referred to as Polynesia’s analog to the Peloponnesian Wars between Athens and Sparta (431–404 BCE).¹³

Fiji consists of 332 islands situated approximately 3,000 kilometers (1,864 miles) east of Australia and 1,800 kilometers (1,118 miles) north of New Zealand. Its many beaches and coves presented perils and possibilities to nineteenth-century mariners. As US naval officer George Foster Emmons explained in 1840, Fiji’s coral reefs and dense coastal mangrove swamps created “a perfect labyrinth” for deep-draft ships, while the archipelago’s volatile tribal politics and complex customs proved baffling and, at times, deadly for stranded sailors.¹⁴

Despite these environmental and cultural obstacles to colonial intrusion, North Americans were captivated by the vast sums to be made from selling the dried bodies of an ocean-dwelling animal that thrived throughout Fiji’s warm coastal shoals. Extensive populations of genus *Holothuria*—or sea cucumbers—flourished in these marine habitats. Like starfish and sea urchins, sea cucumbers are echinoderms. They have slippery collagen-filled skin; feed omnivorously on microscopic aquatic creatures, seafloor particles, and algae; and can vary in length from under an inch to 6 feet. Sea cucumbers are also crucial to the long-term sustainability of coral reef ecosystems. They play key roles in recycling minerals and nutrients, such as calcium carbonate and ammonia, in benthic (ocean-bottom) communities.¹⁵

Fijians refer to sea cucumbers as *dri*, a term that they also use for the dark-skinned highland warriors of Viti Levu Island. Sea cucumbers are called *bêche-de-mer* (“sea spade”) in French, *trepang* in Indonesian, *namako* (“sea rat”) in Japanese, and *haishen* (“sea ginseng” 海参) in Chinese. For centuries, these creatures have functioned as a culinary delicacy and a potent medicine in many Pacific World cultures. Since the Ming Dynasty (1368–1644 CE), Chinese doctors have used the dried body wall of the sea cucumber to treat kidney disorders, high blood pressure, constipation, impotence, and other ailments. The *Bencao Gangmu* (本草纲目), a late sixteenth-century medical compendium written by scientist Li Shizhen (李时珍), offered the first written testimonial of the animal’s extraordinary healing properties.¹⁷

By the early nineteenth century, North American merchants had become keenly aware of the sea cucumber’s salutary reputation in China as a multipurpose tonic and a sexual stimulant. Benjamin Morrell, a US sealing captain and explorer who traveled in the South Pacific during the 1820s and 1830s, declared, “The Chinese . . . consider *biche-de-mer* [sic] a very great luxury; believing that it wonderfully strengthens and nourishes the systems, and renews the exhausted vigour of the immoderate voluptuary.”¹⁸

Most Westerners possessed little knowledge of Chinese medical principles and were less than effusive about the sea cucumber’s
Figure 2. Map of Nantucket and Fiji, showing the principal sailing route between the two island societies during the early nineteenth century. Credit: Map produced by Springer Cartographics, LLC for Edward D. Melillo, 2014.
culinary potential. During his explorations of Singapore, Indonesia, and Malaysia, British naturalist Alfred Russel Wallace described smoked béche-de-mer as “looking like sausages which have been rolled in mud and then thrown up the chimney.”19 One of Wallace’s contemporaries, Victorian journalist Peter Lund Simmonds, was equally dismayed by the sea cucumber, calling it an “unseemly-looking substance of a dirty light or dark-brown colour, hard, rigid and repulsive, with a strong fishy odour.”20 Regardless of its incompatibility with Euro-American tastes, the sea cucumber motivated US merchants who rushed to transform Fiji’s natural wealth into commodities suitable for sale to the Chinese. Given the insatiable Euro-American demand for Chinese silks, tea, jade, porcelain, and lacquered goods, North American entrepreneurs stood to gain from the rapid expansion of Pacific trade routes.21

The earliest ship to enter the South Seas under the US flag, the Empress of China, departed from New York on February 22, 1784. The vessel sailed for Canton laden with 57,687 pounds of ginseng, 38 barrels of tar and turpentine, $20,000 in silver, and 11 containers of wine and brandy.22 The ship carried all the diplomatic accoutrements that the newly convened Congress of the Confederation (1781–89) could muster.23 Unhampered by British blockades, which had previously stifled commercial connections between the colonies and the outside world during the War of Independence (1775–83), Yankee traders began their aggressive expansion into the Pacific. Between the Empress of China’s 1784 voyage and 1833—when the British government ended the British East India Company’s trading monopoly at Canton—US ships made at least 1,352 officially recorded visits to China in pursuit of these commercial opportunities.24

During these early decades of the so-called China Trade, New England merchants treated Pacific fisheries and island ecosystems as immense apothecaries and vast storehouses for fulfilling Chinese pharmacological and culinary demands.25 Products such as sea cucumbers, dried fish maws—or swim bladders of large fish (yubiao 鱼鳔), shark fins (yuchi 鱼翅), and edible bird’s nests (yanwo 燕窝) spun by swiftlets of the genus Aerodramus—were of little value in the United States and Europe but were prized by Chinese consumers for their medicinal properties and complex flavor profiles. For example, along with abalone (baoyu 鲍鱼) and shark fins, sea cucumber was a key ingredient in the celebrated Fujianese soup “Buddha Jumps over the Wall” (fo tiao qiang 佛跳墙). Legend has it that vegetarian Buddhist monks were so tempted by the aromas of this concoction that they would leap over monastery walls in order to indulge in its savory broth.26

Prior to the heyday of the sea cucumber trade, Fijian sandalwood (Santalum yasi) was among the most desirable cargoes for North American ships. The Chinese used the aromatic heartwood of the
sandalwood tree (*tan xiang mu* 檀香木) as ceremonial incense, construction material for decorative items, and an ingredient in perfumes, cosmetics, and medical preparations. Historically, a variety of South Asian sandalwood, *Santalum album*, had filled this market niche. As Indian sandalwood declined due to unsustainable exploitation, the Fijian variant—a shrub known to locals as *yasi dina* or *yasi boi*—became a viable substitute. In 1804 the US ships *Fair American* and *Marcia* loaded their holds with the fragrant wood and inaugurated the exploitation of Fijian groves in the service of foreign commerce. During the following decade, this trade grew rapidly enough that European, North American, and Australian mariners began calling Fiji’s second largest island of Vanua Levu “Sandalwood Island.”

William Lockerby of Liverpool, the first mate aboard the Boston-based ship *Jenny*, recounted how in 1808 Captain William Dorr paid the equivalent of £50 for 250 tons of Fijian sandalwood that subsequently fetched £20,000 in China. Such colossal profits were short lived, however. By 1816 the clear-cutting of Fiji’s sandalwood forests was so far-reaching that commercial harvesting became obsolete.

The decline of sandalwood extraction was followed by the emergence of a flourishing market for Fijian sea cucumbers. From the late 1820s through the 1840s, dozens of New England merchants, many of whom sailed from Salem Harbor, built their fortunes upon Fijian bêche-de-mer. Benjamin Vanderford, William Driver, Joseph Winn, Henry Archer, Charles Millett, John Henry Eagleston, and Benjamin Wallis were among the Salem captains involved in this profitable trade. Whippy and Cary served as interpreters and labor recruiters for many of these men.

After a trading vessel had arrived at one of the archipelago’s coves, the two Nantucket castaways and other bilingual go-betweens negotiated with Fijian chiefs to enlist a workforce of boys and girls who would dive for sea cucumbers in the shallow reef waters at low tide. As one writer described the labor process, “Besides paying, you also feed your laborers, giving them yams or Indian corn or sweet potatoes, with what shellfish they get themselves. They work for two, three, or six months, or even a year; and on a good, calm night an expert fishing-girl will fill what is termed a *qui* case and earn a shilling, occasionally two—not bad for a little thing twelve or thirteen years old.”

In mid-nineteenth-century Fiji, sea cucumber collecting, curing, and drying operations were elaborate tasks that involved thousands of native laborers over the decades (1820s–1850s) during which the trade endured. Workers lived in sizable camps that sprang up on island shorelines, especially along the north coast of Vanua Levu. Salem captain John Henry Eagleston even referred to his 1830s sea cucumber operation as “our little city.” Despite such images of metropolitan permanence, these labor settlements were transient affairs,
regularly disrupted by departures of workers for more attractive engagements such as feasts, religious ceremonies, or local wars.\textsuperscript{32} In addition, outbreaks of violence between ships’ crews and island residents were frequent. For example, in September 1833, the crew from the brig \textit{Charles Doggett} clashed with villagers while curing a load of sea cucumbers at Kandora Island. Five sailors died in the ensuing struggle including the mate, Charles Shipman.\textsuperscript{33}

Conditions were ripe for such conflicts. Unlike the sandalwood trade, in which the crews of commercial vessels did most of the logging or captains simply purchased wood from coastal communities, the longer-term social arrangements of sea cucumber preparation placed visiting sailors in charge of native workers for months on end. Such measures created infinite possibilities for misunderstandings and imposed exploitative labor hierarchies on communities unaccustomed to being commanded by foreigners. Most visiting mariners were driven by compulsions of commerce and cared little about Fijian traditions and customs.\textsuperscript{34}

Selection of the most desirable varieties of sea cucumber to sell at Manila and Canton added to the complexity of the harvest. Writing in the 1830s, New England trader Edmund Fanning explained, “It is very necessary that a person who undertakes to collect \textsc{[bêche-de-mer]} should have experience in the business, and be well able to distinguish the different kinds.”\textsuperscript{35} These factors enhanced the influence of those who acted as intermediaries between Fijians and visiting ships’ crews. After helping Salem captain Benjamin Vanderford and the crew of the \textit{Clay} prepare a cargo of sea cucumbers in October 1827, Cary described the initial stage of processing: “The fish is cut open at the mouth and the entrails squeezed out.”\textsuperscript{36} Workers then pickled the \textsc{bêche-de-mer} in iron cauldrons, many of which had been designed by a Vermont-based company for boiling maple syrup, only to be repurposed by enterprising New Englanders in the South Pacific.\textsuperscript{37} After this bath, workers sun-dried or desiccated the creatures in 30-meter-long smokehouses built of coconut-tree timbers.

In the 1840s, Mary Davis Wallis, wife of Salem captain Benjamin Wallis, accompanied her husband on the bark \textit{Zotoff} and wrote of the ship’s stopover “at the Feejee Islands to procure a cargo of ‘beech de mer.’” As she described the curing process, “Some of the natives preceded, and others followed us to the building where the ‘beech de mer’ was drying. A deep trench is usually dug the whole length of one of the houses, which is filled with burning logs of wood.”\textsuperscript{38} Once adequately smoked, the dried sea cucumbers were packed for shipping by the \textit{picul} (\textsuperscript{担}），a Chinese unit of weight roughly equal to 133½ pounds.\textsuperscript{39} Charles Pickering, the naturalist with the US Exploring Expedition to the Pacific (1838–42), wrote of sea cucumber processing, “To look at the small size of the prepared animal, reflect on the immense amount of industry there expended in making up a cargo,
the complicated process of its preparation. . . . It is certainly one of the most remarkable branches of business in the Annals of Commerce.”

Pickering’s remark exhibits the proportional relationship between labor and value and demonstrates the incredulity of many Westerners that a thriving market could exist for a commodity that they held in such low regard. Such comments exemplify encounters of value, moments in which acts of exchange reveal profound cultural differences and divergent systems of worth. As sociocultural anthropologist Arjun Appadurai has put it, “not all parties share the same interests in any specific regime of value, nor are the interests of any two parties in a given exchange identical.”

Like the sandalwood trade that preceded it, the relentless sea cucumber harvest severely damaged Fiji’s environment. Round-the-clock fires at drying houses—which burned more than 500,000 cubic feet of wood between 1827 and 1835—demanded a constant supply of logs, perpetuating regional deforestation. Logging depleted the forests around Bau and denuded the entire forested regions of several islands off of the north shore of Vanua Levu. Meanwhile, sea cucumber populations plummeted. Sounding a prescient note, an article in the January 1878 issue of the US magazine Frank Leslie’s Popular Monthly cautioned, “Like many other favorite delicacies, such
as the oyster of Great Britain, the beche-de-mer has been over-fished, and unless the Government establish[es] a close time, the employment of gathering it must cease to exist.”

While not unprecedented, this warning about the limited supply of natural resources in a seemingly bottomless ocean of economic opportunities was certainly unusual for its time. From the late eighteenth century through the Second World War, European and North American maritime expeditions coordinated rapacious harvests of whales, seals, sea otters, copra (dried coconut), mother-of-pearl, tortoiseshell (hawksbill turtle backs), sandalwood, sea cucumbers, bird guano, New Caledonian nickel, and phosphates from Nauru Island. Such repeated acts of enclosure—the forceful transformation of locally managed public goods into commodities for private gain—characterize the environmental history of the Pacific World.

In many cases, nineteenth-century merchants derived enormous profits by trading extracted products across vastly different valuation systems. These practices amounted to cultural arbitrage, defined here as commercial strategies that capitalized on divergent meanings ascribed to goods by various societies. So far, environmental historians have made few attempts to engage with the transformations of value across ecological and cultural borders. Further exploration of this concept promises insights into how capitalist commercial relations in the early modern period structured regimes of resource exploitation, international divisions of labor, and patterns of cross-cultural interaction.

To Fijians, sea cucumbers were of minimal economic value, functioning as little more than intermittent supplements to a rich and varied diet. Merchants from the United States capitalized on this lack of local economic value by transporting sea cucumbers to Canton and Manila, where they had a relatively high value. This ongoing act of cultural arbitrage was also an act of large-scale economic theft, transferring Fijian sovereign wealth and degrading the Fijian environment while providing minimal returns to Fijians. In contrast, guns were of enormous cultural significance and thus value to Fiji’s chieftains due to the war-making ability and symbolic power that they conferred.

Even more valuable than muskets, however, were tabua (pronounced “tambua”). Artisans fashioned these sacred ornaments from the teeth of the sperm whale (Physeter macrocephalus) and polished them with coconut oil and turmeric to impart a dark hue. They often strung a sinnet cord of plaited coconut fiber through holes in either end of the tooth so that it could be worn, but tabua were more commonly cradled in the bearer’s hands. Fijian parties exchanged these revered objects to negotiate social debts, ingratiate themselves to others, maintain tribal alliances, validate marriages, and fulfill spiritual obligations. As Fijian scholar Asele Ravuvu put it, “The
‘whale’s tooth’ represents everything that is valuable and worthwhile in Fijian society. It embodies everything that is chiefly in nature. . . . These qualities cause the intrinsic value of a particular ‘whale’s tooth’ to vary according to the circumstances and the relative status of the offerer and the recipient.”\textsuperscript{52} The first tabua exchanged in Fiji came from neighboring Tonga, to the east. Because Fijians had no historical tradition of hunting sperm whales, tabua acquired a supra-social aura.\textsuperscript{53}

Most European and American traders failed to understand this depth of local, culturally imbued value, regarding whales’ teeth as commodities to be traded for other commodities. In 1880 Englishman John William Anderson recalled that forty years earlier, “a hogshead of [sea cucumber], as taken on the reefs, could be purchased for a sperm whale’s tooth, these teeth being very popular as neck ornaments.”\textsuperscript{54}

Cary and Whippy facilitated many ceremonies in which visiting merchants ingratiated themselves with chiefs by exchanging tabua for guarantees of bêche-de-mer laborers. In their capacity as mercenaries, the two Nantucket castaways also received whales’ teeth for their services. As Cary recounted the proceedings of one battle,

David, dressed like a native, led our party. He got shelter behind a stump, singled out one of their chief warriors, fired and shot him through the head. As soon as their chief fell the enemy fled for the woods and mountains. Then we rushed forward, broke down their bamboo fence and entered the village. We killed all who had not made their escape,
plundered the town and set it on fire, then marched back to Navarto, singing songs of victory. Here we were paid for our services with hogs, turtle, fishing nets and whales’ teeth.55

This episode showcases Whippy’s and Cary’s pivotal roles as musket men in the brutal regional wars that shook early nineteenth-century Fiji.56 It also reveals the inadequacy of Western ontological categories for understanding the symbolic depth of Fijian exchanges. Tabua were not reducible to currency; they represented a vast array of social interactions across human and nonhuman realms.57

Initially, hunters from Nantucket and other whaling ports viewed the whales’ teeth as body parts with little intrinsic value. Instead, they focused on extracting other raw materials for an impressive array of commodities. Manufacturers used the whale’s oil in streetlamps, candles, and machine lubricant; they made corsets, umbrellas, and buggy whips from whalebone; they also prized ambergris, a waxy substance found in the animal’s digestive tract, as a fixative for perfumes.58

By 1815, however, whalers were coming to understand the immense value of whales’ teeth to some Pacific Island peoples. That year, the Boston merchants Boardman & Pope informed Captain Caleb Reynolds of the ship Sultan that he should obtain whales’ teeth from whaling ships in the Pacific: “They will be serviceable to you, if in the course of the voyage, you visit any of the Islands of the Pacific to procure Sandal Wood, and they will always be useful in procuring provisions there …. Formerly the Whalemen considered them of little or no value, and perhaps by the time you might visit the Islands, the supply has been such that the Natives may esteem them less.”59

Whales’ teeth also acquired cultural significance to sailors aboard the ships that pursued whales across the Pacific. Indeed, the ever-growing stockpile of whales’ teeth aboard vessels bound for Fiji was a key factor in the emergence of scrimshaw, intricate carvings, engravings, and scrollwork done on pieces of bone and ivory.60 On long voyages into the Pacific, sailors began creating elaborate artwork on the surfaces of plentiful sperm whale teeth. Although many scrimshaw craftsmen (known as scrimshanders) carved domestic articles—such as pie crimpers, crochet hooks, and corset stays—as gifts for women at home, others produced commissioned works for a burgeoning maritime art market. Among the first to sign their scrimshaw creations were Nantucket whalers Edward Burdett and Frederick Myrick. Burdett, a prolific artist, served as first officer aboard the Nantucket whaleship Montano. He produced at least twenty pieces of scrimshaw before his untimely death in 1833 from entanglement in a whale harpoon line.61 Myrick, who sailed aboard the Nantucket whaleship Susan during its several voyages into the Pacific from 1826 to 1829, produced a series of twenty-two scrimshaw pieces now
known as “Susan’s teeth.” These are the most widely celebrated scrimshaw carvings in existence today. The contrasts between scrimshaw and tabua encapsulate encounters of value. Sperm whale teeth accrued economic significance in the North Atlantic for the intricately etched designs they bore; in the South Pacific it was their ceremonial and symbolic associations that conveyed their worth.

Curiously, tabua played a role in the cession of Fiji to Great Britain in 1874. That year, the powerful chieftain and self-styled Tui Viti (king) of all Fiji, Seru Epenisa Cakobau of Bau, presented a whale’s-tooth necklace to the Wesleyan missionary James Calvert. This gift to the man responsible for Cakobau’s conversion to Christianity was part of a ceremony in which Fiji became Britain’s Crown Colony.
Prior to relinquishing control of the archipelago, Cakobau had been hounded by US diplomats and pursued by periodic threats from North American naval gunships for payment of US$43,000 in debts. Cakobau presumably owed this sum for the looting that followed a fire at a July 4 celebration in 1849, in addition to claims from other expatriates. The conflagration burned down the house of US commercial agent to Fiji, John Brown Williams. Facing these mounting pressures and the threat of ascendant Tongan chief Ma'afu, a shrewd leader who also sought regional hegemony in the mid-nineteenth-century Fiji, Cakobau eventually ceded 80,937 hectares (200,000 acres) of Fijian land to Queen Victoria’s empire. In return, the British agreed to pay his debts and support his title of Tui Viti. Toward the end of his life, Cakobau wistfully reflected on the apparent inevitability of the cession: “If matters remain as they are, Fiji will become like a piece of driftwood in the sea and be picked up by the first passer-by.”

In a sad irony, it was a floating epidemic from across the ocean that devastated Fiji’s population in 1875. Measles arrived aboard the HMS Dido, the ship that carried home several infected passengers, Cakobau, and his entourage following talks with British diplomats in Sydney, Australia. Within a few months of the Dido’s arrival in Levuka, over 40,000 Fijians—or nearly a third of the islands’ indigenous population—perished in the epidemic.

**INTEROCEANIC CONNECTIONS**

In the years prior to the cession and the measles outbreak, Whippy attempted many entrepreneurial ventures beyond sandalwood and sea cucumbers. He received at least 3,500 plant specimens in 1862 from Robert Sherson Swanston, another US expatriate who wandered the Pacific in search of economic opportunities. That year, as Swanston recounted, “Mr. Whippy on Wakaya erected a cane mill and boiled about two tons of syrup; the first attempt on a large scale to turn the sugar cane to account. Samples were sent to Sydney but the sugar companies gave no encouragement to ship.” In addition, Whippy experimented with coconut oil manufacturing and cotton growing while also founding one of Fiji’s first international businesses, Whippy, Simpsons and Keswick, which built a 20-metric-ton schooner for trading voyages to and from Australia. In 1840, when Lieutenant Charles Wilkes arrived in Fiji with the US Exploring Expedition, Whippy served as guide and interpreter. This crucial role later earned him the title of honorary US vice consul in Fiji.

During his early years in Fiji, Whippy had lived in the town of Levuka on the Island of Ovalau, 24 kilometers (15 miles) east of Viti Levu, the largest Fijian island. News of his dominant presence on
Ovalau was widespread. In 1869 a story in the New Bedford Whalemen’s Shipping List and Merchants’ Transcript noted, “There were about thirty . . . sailors [on the island] who had mostly run away from ships or somehow floated to these shores. The principal man among them, and the one that had the most influence with the chiefs—and in fact was a very respectable and steady man—was David Whippy, who, thirty years before, had left a Nantucket whaler, and making friends with the chief of Libouka, had settled there. He had a number of wives, as a matter of course, and a considerable progeny.” Baptism records from the Wesleyan-Methodist Church of Fiji reveal that Whippy had multiple wives and fathered at least eleven children. The many generations of Whippys that followed have become one of Fiji’s largest ancestral groups of kailoma, or mixed-race Fijians. Whippy remained in Fiji and spent his later years on Wakaya Island until his death in 1871. His descendants inherited portions of a 13,262-acre mountainous tract, known as Yadali, in the district of Wainunu on the western side of Vanua Levu.

Unlike Whippy, Cary returned to Nantucket in 1833. After nine years in Fiji, Cary departed for his home island, signing on as a common sailor aboard the Salem schooner Tybee. Cary’s fellow Nantucketers were well aware of his adventures in Fiji. Five years earlier, the newspaper Nantucket Inquirer and Mirror had published Cary’s reminiscences of his time in the South Pacific under the provocative title, Wrecked in the Feejees: Experience of a Nantucket man a century ago, who was the sole survivor of whaleship “Oeno” and lived for nine years among cannibals of the South Sea Islands.

By the time Cary returned to his homeland in the North Atlantic, many former inhabitants “of the South Seas Islands” were Nantucket residents. New England’s premier whaling community featured a working-class cosmopolitanism characteristic of similar ports of call throughout the world. Nineteenth-century whaleships that sailed on three- to five-year expeditions rarely returned to Nantucket with more than half of their original crew members aboard. At Pacific ports, captains replaced deserters with sailors from these faraway lands. In the 1920s, maritime historian Samuel Eliot Morrison characterized the whaling fleet’s new recruits in rather derogatory terms: “To replace them, Kanakas, Togatabooars, Filipinos, and even Fiji cannibals like Melville’s hero Queequeg, were signed on for a nominal wage or microscopic lay,” comprising “a crew that looked like shipwrecked mariners.”

Nantucket was fast coming to resemble its Pacific Island counterparts, half a world away. In 1822 the Boston Recorder had reported on a “Heathen School at Nantucket” that had “recently been instituted into which 15 natives of Owhyee [Hawaii] and other islands of the Pacific, have been received.” Likewise, the author of a late nineteenth-century account of life on Nantucket stated, “You will find,
any day, on the streets of the town, boys and girls and men and women who were ‘born round Cape Horn,’ at some of the coral isles of the Pacific.” In fact, New Zealand–born William Whippy, one of David’s distant cousins, was the proprietor of a “Canacka Boarding-House” for Pacific Islanders, located in Nantucket’s historically black New Guinea neighborhood. By the 1820s, the term “Kanacka”—Hawaiian for “person”—had become synonymous with “black” in Nantucket ships’ crew lists and island census records. William, most likely half Māori, married Maria Ross, the daughter of African-born fugitive slave, James Ross. William and Maria ran their modest guest lodging until William’s death in 1847 from tuberculosis.

The stories of William Whippy, his cousin David, and William Cary remind us of the maritime world that connected nineteenth-century Nantucket and Fiji. These linkages left a mixed legacy. Fiji’s environment has struggled to recover from successive phases of export-oriented commodity booms that crossed cultural and ethnic boundaries in new encounters of value. Following the devastating decline of the nineteenth century, Fiji’s sea cucumber population has experienced a modest revival, in part because of efforts to develop sustainable *Holothuria* aquaculture programs. As of 2013, Fiji’s beche-de-mer trade was worth an annual US$3 million, but profits from this lucrative enterprise rarely trickle down to villagers who sell their harvests to intermediaries at a small fraction of the prices that the product garners on the international market. China continues to be the major destination for Fijian sea cucumbers. Meanwhile, Fiji’s tropical forests remain critically endangered as a result of continuing widespread timber extraction and the extensive conversion of woodlands to plantation agriculture. Since 1967 Fiji has lost 140,000 hectares (345,948 acres) of forests to commercial development.

In addition, neither Whippy nor Cary could have envisioned that a warmer world would pose another pressing threat to the coastal zones...
of both Fiji and Nantucket. At the beginning of the twenty-first century, evidence of a rapidly warming planet is accumulating in increasingly palpable ways. Rising sea levels and ocean acidification, combined with increasingly frequent and severe storms, are jeopardizing the integrity of Fiji’s coastal mangroves and coral reefs and causing the south shore of Nantucket to lose approximately 3.7 meters (12 feet) per year. In contrast, the impacts of castaways on the global tides of history have proven more vexing to assess. As historian Ronald A. Derrick contended in *A History of Fiji*, “The acts of a handful of traders and settlers make up so much of the recorded history of the years before [the 1874] Cession that it is easy to attach too much importance to them; they were often little more than eddies on the surface of a flood of native life conditioned by the native wars and all that went with them.” Derrick was right to challenge the myopic notion that Fiji’s nineteenth-century history unfolded as a series of reactions to colonial incursions.

Considering this important caveat, one might easily relegate Whippy and Cary to the margins of history. This would be a mistake. As this article has shown, these castaways facilitated crucial encounters of value that transformed the environments and societies of Fiji and the Pacific World during the nineteenth century. Their stories illuminate transoceanic cultural and environmental processes that would otherwise remain opaque.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In a number of ways, the findings presented here resonate with key assertions of historian Richard White’s *The Middle Ground*. Throughout the commercial and social relations that developed between New England mariners and Fijians during the early 1800s, both sides adopted and adapted, in quite precarious terms, “values” of the other to facilitate the transpacific trade in sea cucumbers and whales’ teeth. Such accommodations characterized the Fijian careers of intermediaries like Whippy and Cary who—much like the fur traders of White’s *pays d’en haut* of the eighteenth-century Great Lakes region—acquired many local cultural customs, mastered new languages, and, in Whippy’s case, even married into ruling families.

Despite the clear differences between the eighteenth-century Great Lakes region and nineteenth-century Fiji, undeniable similarities exist. Whippy, Carey, and their Fijian counterparts built relationships based on exploitation and violence, but these entanglements occasionally produced mutualistic and collaborative results. At each phase in their intensifying interactions, both sides struggled to understand and manipulate the value systems of the other to acquire wealth, status, and political power. Anglo-Americans were certainly adept at the
business of cultural arbitrage, but Fijians were similarly fierce agents in this exchange. The results of these adaptations amounted to extraordinary environmental, social, demographic, and political changes in Fiji while also shaping the long-term history of Nantucket. However, at least prior to the 1850s, the outcomes of these historic shifts—with the possible exception of the deforestation of Pacific sandalwood groves—were, by no means, imaginable.  
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