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## A simple Lagrange Multiplier example

The problem:
Choose $x$ and $y$ to maximize $z=x y$ subject to the constraint:

$$
c-a x-b y=0 .
$$

## Setup Lagrangian

$$
L=x y+\lambda(c-a x-b y)
$$

## First order conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}=y-\lambda a=0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}=x-\lambda b=0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}=c-a x-b y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solving the problem

$\frac{y}{a}=\frac{x}{b} \Rightarrow a x=b y \Rightarrow x^{*}=\frac{c}{2 a} \quad y^{*}=\frac{c}{2 b} \quad z^{*}=x^{*} y^{*}=\frac{c^{2}}{4 a b} \quad \lambda=\frac{y}{a}=\frac{c}{2 a b}$

## Examples

| $c$ | $a$ | $b$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | .5 | .5 | .25 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | .25 | .5 | .125 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 24$ |
| 1 | .5 | .5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1.1 | 1 | 1 | .55 | .55 | .3025 |
| 0.9 | 1 | 1 | .45 | .45 | .2025 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1 | .4545 | .5 | .2273 |

How does changing constraint change objective?

$$
\frac{\partial \mathrm{z}^{*}}{\partial c}=\frac{c}{2 a b} \text { Example shows this (approximately) } \partial \mathrm{z}^{*}=\frac{c}{2 a b} \partial c=\frac{1}{2}( \pm .1)= \pm .05 .
$$

Also: $\frac{\partial z^{*}}{\partial a}=\frac{-c^{2}}{4 a^{2} b}$ Example shows (approximately)
$\partial z^{*}=\frac{-1}{4} \partial a=-0.25(+0.1)=-0.025$.
Can we get these results without actually solving the problem for an explicit solution? Yes, use the envelope theorem:

$$
\frac{\partial z^{*}}{\partial c}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial c}=\lambda=\frac{c}{2 a b} \quad \frac{\partial z^{*}}{\partial a}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial a}=-\lambda x=-\frac{c}{2 a b} \cdot \frac{c}{2 a}=\frac{-c^{2}}{4 a^{2} b}
$$

Recovering optimal $\boldsymbol{x}$ from the original Lagrangian expression using envelope results:

$$
x^{*}=\frac{\frac{-\partial L}{\partial a}}{\frac{\partial L}{\partial c}}=\frac{\frac{c^{2}}{4 a^{2} b}}{\frac{c}{2 a b}}=\frac{c}{2 a}
$$

## Second Order Conditions:

Intuitive: The function $z=x y$ is obviously not concave - doubling $x$ and $y$ would multiply $z$ by four. But the function is quasi-concave as can be shown by looking at a level curve of the form $c=x y$.

Formal: The Hessian here is $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Hence $\mathrm{H}_{1}=0, \mathrm{H}_{2}=-1$. Hence H is not negative definite.

The bordered Hessian is $\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & y & x \\ y & 0 & 1 \\ x & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$, so $\mathrm{H}_{2}=-y^{2}, \mathrm{H}_{3}=2 x y$. Hence the
leading principal minors of H follow the required pattern for quasi-concavity.

