## THE PRICE OF THE TICKET

## JAMES BALDWIN

Collected Nonfiction 1948-1985

St. Martin's / Marek
New York

355 355 197 198 53

quotations embodied in critical articles or reviews. For States of America. No part of this book may be used THE PRICE OF THE TICKET. Copyright@1985 by James information, address St. Martin's/Marek, 175 Fifth Baldwin. All rights reserved. Printed in the United or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10010.

Design by Janet Tingey

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Baldwin, James, 1924-

The price of the ticket.

"Limited edition available-250 copies, signed,

numbered, and boxed"--

814'.54 PS3552.A45P7 1985

85-11733

ISBN 0-312-64307-1 (lim. ed.) ISBN 0-312-64306-3

1098765432

First Edition

CONTENTS

Introduction: The Price of the Ticket ix The Harlem Ghetto

Lockridge: "The American Myth" 13

Journey to Atlanta 19

Everybody's Protest Novel 27

Encounter on the Seine: Black Meets Brown 35

Princes and Powers 41

Many Thousands Gone 65

Stranger in the Village

A Question of Identity

The Male Prison 101

Carmen Jones: The Dark Is Light Enough 107

Equal in Paris 113

Notes of a Native Son 127

Faulkner and Desegregation 147

The Crusade of Indignation 153

A Fly in Buttermilk 161

2131194

## 26 THE PRICE OF THE TICKET

arrest any Negro who seemed to neéd it. In Harlem, Negro policemen are feared even more than whites, for they have more to prove and fewer ways to prove it. The prospect of being arrested in Atlanta made them a little dizzy with terror: what might mean a beating in Harlem might quite possibly mean death here. "And at the same time," David says, "it was funny"; by which he means that the five policemen were faint prophecies of that equality which is the Progressive Party's goal.

They did not see Mrs. Price again; this was their severance from the Party, which now refused to pay any expenses; it was only the fact that their rent had been paid in advance which kept them off the streets. Food, however, remained a problem. Mr. Warde brought them a "couple of loaves of bread" and some jam; they sang one engagement. During this week Mrs. Price relented enough to get their clothes from the cleaners and send Mr. Warde, in custody of a white man who had been at the party, to the bus station for tickets. This man, whose resemblance to the Southern Gentleman of the Pullman is in no way diminished by his allegiance to Mr. Wallace, bought the tickets and threw them on the ground at Mr. Warde's feet, advising him not to show his black face in Georgia again.

The quartet, meanwhile, had gotten together six dollars doing odd jobs, which was enough, perhaps, for three of them to eat on the road. They split up, three leaving that Friday and the other two staying on about ten days longer, working for a construction company. Mr. Warde stopped off to visit his family, promising to see *The Melodeers* in New York, but he had not arrived as this was being written. The Melodeers laugh about their trip now, that good-natured, hearty laughter which is, according to white men, the peculiar heritage of Negroes, Negroes who were born with the fortunate ability to laugh all their troubles away. Somewhat surprisingly, they are not particularly bitter toward the Progressive Party, though they can scarcely be numbered among its supporters. "They're all the same," David tells me, "ain't none of 'em gonna do you no good; if you gonna be foolish enough to believe what they say, then it serves you good and right. Ain't none of 'em gonna do a thing for me."

## EVERYBODY'S PROTEST NOVEL

In Uncle Tom's Cabin, that cornerstone of American social protest fiction, St. Clare, the kindly master, remarks to his coldly disapproving Yankee cousin, Miss Ophelia, that, so far as he is able to tell, the blacks have been turned over to the devil for the benefit of the whites in this world—however, he adds thoughtfully, it may turn out in the next. Miss Ophelia's reaction is, at least, vehemently right-minded: "This is perfectly horrible!" she exclaims.

Miss Ophelia, as we may suppose, was speaking for the author; her exclamation is the moral, neatly framed, and incontestable like those improving mottoes sometimes found hanging on the walls of furnished rooms. And, like those mottoes, before which one invariably flinches, recognizing an insupportable, almost an indecent glibness, she and St. Clare are terribly in earnest. Neither of them questions the medieval morality from which their dialogue springs: black, white, the devil, the next world—posing its alternatives between heaven and the flames—were realities for them as, of course, they were for their creator. They spurned and were

Originally published in Partisan Review, June 1949. Collected in Notes of a Native Son. Reprinted from Notes of a Native Son with the permission of Beacon Press.

terrified of the darkness, striving mightily for the light; and considered from this aspect, Miss Ophelia's exclamation, like Mrs. Stowe's novel, achieves a bright, almost a lurid significance, like the light from a fire which consumes a witch. This is the more striking as one considers the novels of Negro oppression written in our own, more enlightened day, all of which say only: "This is perfectly horrible! You ought to be ashamed of yourselves!" (Let us ignore, for the moment, those novels of oppression written by Negroes, which add only a raging, near-paranoiac postscript to this statement and actually reinforce, as I hope to make clear later, the principles which activate the oppression they decry.)

Uncle Tom's Cabin is a very bad novel, having, in its self-righteous, virtuous sentimentality, much in common with Little Women. Sentimentality, the ostentatious parading of excessive and spurious emotion, is the mark of dishonesty, the inability to feel; the wet eyes of the sentimentalist betray his aversion to experience, his fear of life, his arid heart; and it is always, therefore, the signal of secret and violent inhumanity, the mask of cruelty. Uncle Tom's Cabin—like its multitudinous, hard-boiled descendants—is a catalogue of violence. This is explained by the nature of Mrs. Stowe's subject matter, her laudable determination to flinch from nothing in presenting the complete picture; an explanation which falters only if we pause to ask whether or not her picture is indeed complete; and what constriction or failure of perception forced her to so depend on the description of brutality—unmotivated, senseless—and to leave unanswered and unnoticed the only important question: what it was, after all, that moved her people to such deeds.

But this, let us say, was beyond Mrs. Stowe's powers; she was not so much a novelist as an impassioned pamphleteer; her book was not intended to do anything more than prove that slavery was wrong; was, in fact, perfectly horrible. This makes material for a pamphlet but it is hardly enough for a novel; and the only question left to ask is why we are bound still within the same constriction. How is it that we are so loath to make a further journey than that made by Mrs. Stowe, to discover and reveal something a little closer to the truth?

But that battered word, truth, having made its appearance here, confronts one immediately with a series of riddles and has, moreover, since so many gospels are preached, the unfortunate tendency to make one belligerent. Let us say, then, that truth, as used here, is meant to imply a devotion to the human being, his freedom and fulfillment; freedom which cannot be legislated, fulfillment which cannot be charted. This is the prime concern, the

a terrible power. than the truth has been handed down and memorized and persists yet with of both: the formula created by the necessity to find a lie more palatable him down to size. And in Uncle Tom's Cabin we may find foreshadowing mechanization. Both Gentleman's Agreement and The Postman Always Rings our loss; moreover, it is rooted in, interlocked with and intensifies this same Twice exemplify this terror of the human being, the determination to cut this somewhat self-evident fact—is, when he believes it, his corruption and affected by, the lives of other people and to say something improving about all other claims. What is today parroted as his Responsibility—which seems ourselves. It is this power of revelation which is the business of the novelist, to mean that he must make formal declaration that he is involved in, and this journey toward a more vast reality which must take precedence over darkness, can we find at once ourselves and the power that will free us from perish; only within this web of ambiguity, paradox, this hunger, danger, than the disquieting complexity of ourselves-we are diminished and we something more than that, something resolutely indefinable, unpredictable. explained by Science. He is—and how old-fashioned the words sound! a member of a Society or a Group or a deplorable conundrum to be In overlooking, denying, evading his complexity—which is nothing more down to the status of a time-saving invention. He is not, after all, merely mechanical and interlocking of civilizations, attempted to lop this creature know, are notoriously bloodthirsty. We have, as it seems to me, in this most which is too easily equated with a devotion to a Cause; and Causes, as we frame of reference; it is not to be confused with a devotion to humanity

It is interesting to consider one more aspect of Mrs. Stowe's novel, the method she used to solve the problem of writing about a black man at all. Apart from her lively procession of field hands, house niggers, Chloe, Topsy, etc.—who are the stock, lovable figures presenting no problem—she has only three other Negroes in the book. These are the important ones and two of them may be dismissed immediately, since we have only the author's word that they are Negro and they are, in all other respects, as white as she can make them. The two are George and Eliza, a married couple with a wholly adorable child—whose quaintness, incidentally, and whose charm, rather put one in mind of a darky bootblack doing a buckand-wing to the clatter of condescending coins. Eliza is a beautiful, pious hybrid, light enough to pass—the heroine of Quality might, indeed, be her reincarnation—differing from the genteel mistress who has overseered her education only in the respect that she is a servant. George is darker, but

carnal man, the man of the flesh." Tom, therefore, her only black man, has ever-present sin, only thus could she bury, as St. Paul demanded, "the been robbed of his humanity and divested of his sex. It is the price for that the garments of salvation; only thus could she herself be delivered from if, being mindful of the necessity of good works, she could not cast out the blacks—a wretched, huddled mass, apparently, claiming, like an obsession, her inner eye-she could not embrace them either without purifying them of sin. She must cover their intimidating nakedness, robe them in white, doctrine with all her heart, bargaining shamelessly before the throne of grace: God and salvation becoming her personal property, purchased with the coin of her virtue. Here, black equates with evil and white with grace; motivated by nothing so temporal as a concern for the relationship of men to one another-or, even, as she would have claimed, by a concern for their of being caught in traffic with the devil. She embraced this merciless into communion with God or man. The virtuous rage of Mrs. Stowe is relationship to God-but merely by a panic of being hurled into the flames, triumph. (Cf. Faulkner's preface to The Sound and the Fury: These others were not Compsons. They were black:-They endured.) His triumph is metaphysical, unearthly; since he is black, born without the light, it is only through humility, the incessant mortification of the flesh, that he can enter has to be; he is black; only through this forebearance can he survive or novel takes its name, Uncle Tom, who is a figure of controversy yet, is et-black, wooly-haired, illiterate; and he is phenomenally forbearing. He Eliza has some connection with French gentility. The figure from whom the un-Negroid to pass through town, a fugitive from his master, disguised as a Spanish gentleman, attracting no attention whatever beyond admiration. through one of those energetic, last-minute convolutions of the plot, that They are a race apart from Topsy. It transpires by the end of the novel, makes up for it by being a mechanical genius, and is, moreover, sufficiently darkness with which he has been branded.

or the secret rape. This panic motivates our cruelty, this fear of the dark shake or the interracial marriage can be as crucifying as the public hanging that terror which activates a lynch mob. One need not, indeed, search for a warfare so vast, so relentless and so powerful that the interracial handhot, self-righteous, fearful, is not different from that spirit of medieval times which sought to exorcize evil by burning witches; and is not different from examples so historic or so gaudy; this is a warfare waged daily in the heart, cal terror, the terror of damnation; and the spirit that breathes in this book, Uncle Tom's Cabin, then, is activated by what might be called a theologi-

makes it impossible that our lives shall be other than superficial; this, interlocked with and feeding our glittering, mechanical, inescapable civilization which has put to death our freedom.

This, notwithstanding that the avowed aim of the American protest novel is to bring greater freedom to the oppressed. They are forgiven, on the to put first things first, the good of society coming before niceties of style or characterization. Even if this were incontestable-for what exactly is the "good" of society?—it argues an insuperable confusion, since literature whatever excessive demands they make of credibility. It is, indeed, considered the sign of a frivolity so intense as to approach decadence to suggest that these books are both badly written and wildly improbable. One is told has led to an unforeseen, paradoxical distress; confusion, a breakdown of strength of these good intentions, whatever violence they do to language, and sociology are not one and the same; it is impossible to discuss them as if they were. Our passion for categorization, life neatly fitted into pegs, meaning. Those categories which were meant to define and control the being disturbing, is an accepted and comforting aspect of the American scene, ramifying that framework we believe to be so necessary. Whatever unsettling questions are raised are evanescent, titillating; remote, for this world for us have boomeranged us into chaos; in which limbo we whirl, clutching the straws of our definitions. The "protest" novel, so far from has nothing to do with us, it is safely ensconced in the social arena, where, indeed, it has nothing to do with anyone, so that finally we receive a very This report from the pit reassures us of its reality and its darkness and of our own salvation; and "As long as such books are being published," an definite thrill of virtue from the fact that we are reading such a book at all. American liberal once said to me, "everything will be all right,"

But unless one's ideal of society is a race of neatly analyzed, hardworking ciphers, one can hardly claim for the protest novels the lofty purpose they claim for themselves or share the present optimism concerning of Mr. James M. Cain are fantasies. Beneath the dazzling pyrotechnics of them. They emerge for what they are: a mirror of our confusion, dishonesty, panic, trapped and immobilized in the sunlit prison of the American dream. They are fantasies, connecting nowhere with reality, sentimental; in exactly the same sense that such movies as The Best Years of Our Lives or the works these current operas one may still discern, as the controlling force, the intense theological preoccupations of Mrs. Stowe, the sick vacuities of The Rover Boys. Finally, the aim of the protest novel becomes something very closely resembling the zeal of those alabaster missionaries to Africa to cover the nakedness of the natives, to hurry them into the pallid arms of Jesus and thence into slavery. The aim has now become to reduce all'Americans to the compulsive, bloodless dimensions of a guy named Joe.

of reality bequeathed us at our birth; and yet it is precisely through our dependence on this reality that we are most endlessly betrayed. Society is fearing that without it we will be hurled into that void, within which, like the earth before the Word was spoken, the foundations of society are hidden. From this void—ourselves—it is the function of society to protect act of creation, which can save us-"from the evil that is in the world." realities are concerned. This is a more hidden phenomenon now than it was it is as though this very striving were the only motion needed to spring the trap upon us. We take our shape, it is true, within and against that cage held together by our need; we bind it together with legend, myth, coercion, us; but it is only this void, our unknown selves, demanding, forever, a new It is the peculiar triumph of society—and its loss—that it is able to convince those people to whom it has given inferior status of the reality of so that the allegedly inferior are actually made so, insofar as the societal in the days of serfdom, but it is no less implacable. Now, as then, we find ourselves bound, first without, then within, by the nature of our categorization. And escape is not effected through a bitter railing against this trap; With the same motion, at the same time, it is this toward which we endlessly this decree; it has the force and the weapons to translate its dictum into fact, struggle and from which, endlessly, we struggle to escape.

him, but not in His image. This tableau, this impossibility, is the heritage ogether within the same society; they accept the same criteria, they share the same beliefs, they both alike depend on the same reality. Within this age it is romantic, more, meaningless, to speak of a "new" society as the will be no oppressed at all, or the oppressed and the oppressor will change places. But, finally, as it seems to me, what the rejected desire is, is an elevation of status, acceptance within the present community. Thus, the on his knees before that God in Whom he must now believe; who had made of the Negro in America: "Wash me," cried the slave to his Maker, "and It must be remembered that the oppressed and the oppressor are bound desire of the oppressed, for that shivering dependence on the props of reality which he shares with the Herrenvolk makes a truly "new" society impossible to conceive. What is meant by a new society is one in which inequalities will disappear, in which vengeance will be exacted; either there African, exile, pagan, hurried off the auction block and into the fields, fell shall be whiter, whiter than snow!" For black is the color of evil; only

in the skull, that he must live with. Beneath the widely published catalogue to the death of the paradox-which may, therefore, be put to death by one of brutality—bringing to mind, somehow, an image, a memory of churchhe both slees and rushes to embrace. In America, now, this country devoted -his lot is as ambiguous as a tableau by Kafka. To flee or not, to move damn" he says, the bitterness bubbling up like blood, remembering a the robes of the saved are white. It is this cry, implacable on the air and bells burdening the air—is this reality which, in the same nightmare notion, or not, it is all the same; his doom is written on his forehead, it is carried in his heart. In Native Son, Bigger Thomas stands on a Chicago street corner watching airplanes flown by white men racing against the sun and "Godmillion indignities, the terrible, rat-infested house, the humiliation of homerelief, the intense, aimless, ugly bickering, hating it, hatred smoulders and his hatred to rape; he dies, having come, through this violence, we are told, for the first time, to a kind of life, having for the first time redeemed through these pages like sulphur fire. All of Bigger's life is controlled, defined by his hatred and his fear. And later, his fear drives him to murder his manhood. Below the surface of this novel there lies, as it seems to me, a continuation, a complement of that monstrous legend it was written to destroy. Bigger is Uncle Tom's descendant, flesh of his flesh, so exactly opposite a portrait that, when the books are placed together, it seems that the contemporary Negro novelist and the dead New England woman are locked together in a deadly, timeless battle; the one uttering merciless exhortations, the other shouting curses. And, indeed, within this web of lust and fury, black and white can only thrust and counter-thrust, long for each other's Now, exquisite death; death by torture, acid, knives, and burning; which blinds and suffocates them both, so that they go down into the pit the thrust, the counter-thrust, the longing making the heavier that cloud together. Thus has the cage betrayed us all, this moment, our life, turned to nothing through our terrible attempts to insure it. For Bigger's tragedy possibility of his being sub-human and feels constrained, therefore, to battle for his humanity according to those brutal criteria bequeathed him at his is not that he is cold or black or hungry, not even that he is American, black; but that he has accepted a theology that denies him life, that he admits the birth. But our humanity is our burden, our life; we need not battle for it; we need only to do what is infinitely more difficult-that is, accept it. The sailure of the protest novel lies in its rejection of life, the human being, the denial of his beauty, dread, power, in its insistence that it is his categorization alone which is real and which cannot be transcended.