Greek 12 (Feb. 11, 2011): Aorist imperatives

The first agrist imperatives are tricky because -ov and $-\alpha\iota$ figure as verb endings elsewhere and because RG's paradigm verb, $\pi\alpha\dot{\nu}\omega$ is monosyllabic in ways that make it hard to deduce what the accentuation is for other verbs. In the agrist accents matter for meaning.

There are two ways that circumflexes show up in the conjugation of the aorist

(1) A standard rule A long penult before a short ultima, if accented, must bear the circumflex (πεῖσον, πεῖσαι [imper.]). (Remember that the circumflex occurs only on long syllables). We've seen this rule before: εἶπον, εἶπες, εἶπε, εἴπομεν, εἴπετε, εἶπον. Also imperatives (παῦε but παύετε).

The accent on the 1st aorist active and middle **imperative** is recessive but, with monosyllabic stems, can turn into a circumflex if (rule 1, above) that syllable is long: $\pi \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \alpha \iota$, $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \sigma \alpha \iota$; but $\tilde{\alpha} \varrho \xi \alpha \iota$ from $\tilde{\alpha} \varrho \chi \omega$ ($\tilde{\alpha} \varrho \chi$ -). RG's examples $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \sigma \sigma \nu / \pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \sigma \alpha \iota$ are in a small category (#198, p. 189). (Recall that, though a diphthong, the $-\alpha \iota$ is short both in the 1st aor. middle singular imperative and active infinitive.)

(2) The accent on the 1st aorist active **infinitive** is always on the penult (circumflex if long $[\pi\epsilon \tilde{\imath}\sigma\alpha\iota$, $\tilde{\alpha}\kappa\circ\tilde{\imath}\sigma\alpha\iota$, $\pi\circ\tilde{\imath}\sigma\alpha\iota$); acute if short $[\pi\varrho\tilde{\alpha}\xi\alpha\iota$ from $\pi\varrho\tilde{\alpha}\tau\tau\omega$).

	Act. 2s.	Act. 2pl.	Middle 2s.	Middle 2pl.	Act. aor.
	[Acc. recess.*]	[Acc. recessive]		[Acc. recessive]	INFINITIVE
Πείθω	πεῖσον (1)	πείσατε	<u>πεῖσαι (1)</u>	πείσασθε	<u>πεῖσαι (</u> 2)
Άκούω	ἄκουσον (1)	ἀκούσατε	ἄκουσαι (1)	άκούσασθε	ἀκοῦσαι (2)
κατηγορέω	κατηγόρησον (1)	κατη- γορήσατε	<u>κατη-</u> <u>γόοησαι</u> (1)	κατη- γορήσασθε	<u>κατη-</u> <u>γοοῆσαι (2)</u>
ποίεω	ποίησον (1)	ποίησατε	ποίησαι (1)	ποιήσασθε	<u>ποιῆσαι (2)</u>
Λέγω	εἰπέ*	εἴπετε			εἰπεῖν
Γίγνομαι			γενοῦ	γένεσθε	
Λανθάνω	λάθε*	λάθετε	λαθοῦ	λάθεσθε	λαθεῖν
Πάσχω	πάθε*	πάθετε	παθοῦ	πάθεσθε	παθεῖν

A way to keep the accents sorted out is to remember that of aorist <u>infinitives</u>, **only** the 1st middle is recessive; the others are all quirkish:

	Active	Middle	Passive		
1^{st}	ἀκοῦσαι	<u>άκούσασθαι</u> [recess	sive]		
2^{nd}	λαθεῖν	λαθέσθαι			
All			ἀκουσθῆναι, ἡηθῆναι, ποιηθῆναι		
	AORIST INFINITVES: ONLY ΄ –ασασθαι IS RECESSIVE.				

All of the aorist active and middle <u>imperatives</u> are recessive (table above) except the 2^{nd} aorist singular middle $(\lambda\alpha\beta\circ\tilde{\nu})^*$, though rule (1) sometimes yields a circumflex with the 1^{st} singular active and middle $(\pi\epsilon\tilde{\nu})^*$, $\pi\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, $\pi\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, $\pi\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, $\pi\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$.

AORIST IMPERATIVE: ONLY -ov IS NON-RECESSIVE.

(Even the agrist passive imperative, which we haven't encounter is recessive: ' $-\theta\eta\tau\iota$ ' $-\theta\eta\tau\epsilon$.)

```
ἐλθέ [ἔοχομαι > ἦλθον];
εἰπέ [λέγω > εἶπον],
ἰδέ [ὁράω > εἶδον];
λαβέ [λαμβάνω > ἔλαβον];
εὑρέ [εὑρίσκω > ηὖρον].
```

RG has given you *only* exceptions [$\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\epsilon}$, $\epsilon i \pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\epsilon}$], for unfathomable reasons. Shocking.

^{*}An issue so nasty I won't hold you responsible for it. The accent on the 2^{nd} aorist active imperative is recessive: $\lambda \acute{\alpha} \theta \epsilon$, $\pi \acute{\alpha} \theta \epsilon$, $\mu \acute{\alpha} \theta \epsilon$, $\xi \lambda \epsilon$ [$\alpha \grave{i} \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \omega$, $\epsilon \grave{i} \lambda o \nu$]. There are, however, five exceptions: