

Costs and benefits of social groups

Benefits

- Predation
 - Collective detection
 - Predator dilution
- Food
 - Information sharing
 - Cooperative hunting

Costs

- Predation
 - Predator attraction
- · Resource competition
- · Disease transmission




Social interactions



Behavior towards others depends on costs and benefits to actor and recipient and genetic relatedness between the two

Fitness of actor



Genetic relatedness

- Sharing copies of gene from same relative (identical by descent)
- Coefficient of relatedness (r) = average proportion of genes identical by descent

0.50 = Parent, full sibling 0.25 = Grandparent, half sibling, aunt/uncle, nephew/niece 0.125 = First cousin



"Would I lay down my life to save my brother? No, but I would to save two brothers or eight cousins."

Approximate quote by J.B.S. Haldane

Types of fitness

- · Own offspring = direct fitness
- · Related offspring = indirect fitness
- · Direct + indirect = inclusive fitness
- Kin selection = process of maximizing inclusive fitness



Hamilton's rule

· In order for altruistic behavior to occur

C < rB

- r is coefficient of relatedness
- · Cost to actor (C)
- · Benefit to recipient (B)



W.D. Hamilton

Hamilton's rule

· Another way to think about it...

C < rB

- C is direct fitness lost due to altruistic behavior
- B is indirect fitness gained due to altruistic behavior

Negative r?

- r = relative relatedness compared to population
- 0 = average relatedness
- According to Hamilton's rule, spite can only occur between individuals with negative r

Hamilton's rule

· Also predicts spiteful behavior

C < rB

- · C is direct fitness lost due to spiteful act
- B is negative benefit (= cost) to recipient
- r is negative relatedness

Social interactions

Selfishness, cooperation easy to explain because both maximize individual fitness






Does spitefulness occur in nature?

Spiteful behavior


- Unlikely to occur because requires restrictive conditions
 - Extreme competition for resources
 - Negative relatedness among participants
 - Ability to recognize close relatives

Spiteful behavior in parasitoid wasps




related than others


Spiteful behavior in parasitoid wasps



Sex determination in wasps



Negative r?





Spiteful behavior in parasitoid wasps



Spite vs. "indirect altruism" depends on - Number of parasitoids laying per host

- Precision of kin recognition

Social interactions

Selfishness, cooperation easy to explain because both maximize individual fitness

Spite constrained by unique circumstances







What about altruism?

Altruism

Toward relatives



Toward non-relatives



Reproductive helpers


Some altruism explained by inclusive fitness

Juveniles may postpone breeding, assist rearing younger siblings






Reproductive helpers






Altruistic alarm calling

- Callers at greater risk (C)
- Call when relatives near (B)
- Given matrilineal social system, who should do the alarm calling?



Altruistic alarm calling





Altruism in social Hymenoptera

Reproduction limited to one or few queens

Cooperative care of young







Workers may die defending colony


Altruism due in part to unusual sex determination system

Haplodiploidy in social Hymenoptera





Haplodiploidy affects kin selection



How does this affect their behavior?

Reciprocal altruism

- Repaying an altruistic act
- · Cost of altruistic act is usually low





Robert Trivers

Reciprocal altruism

Vampire bats need to eat 50-100% of their body weight in blood per night



33% of juvenile and 7% of adult bats find no food each night

Energy budget allows for 48-72 hours before they starve



Based on these parameters, annual adult mortality should be 82% but is only 24%

Reciprocal altruism


Successful foragers share blood meals with unsuccessful foragers








Reciprocal altruism



Reciprocal altruism



Why is reciprocity rare?

Consider the prisoner's dilemma:

Player B Cooperate Defect A, B rewarded for cooperation punishment A gets maximum punishment A gets maximum reward A, B punished for mutual defection


Player A

Good strategy is always to defect first!


The problem of defection

Many fish (e.g. sticklebacks) approach and inspect potential predators







The problem of defection




Does reciprocity exist?



Does reciprocity exist?






When owl model placed near box A

- pied flycatchers from box C cooperated
- pied flycatchers from box B defected

Does reciprocity exist?



Greenbeard altruism

Hamilton's rule predicts altruistic behavior based on average r



С



Richard Dawkins

Greenbeard allele(s):

- Produce trait
- Recognize trait
- Treat those with trait preferentially



Solenopsis invicta Fire ants are polygynous (multiple queens)

BB and Bb genotypes for Gp-9 locus (bb inviable)



Greenbeard altruism









BB queens killed by Bb workers • when develop from BB workers

• when introduced into colony

Role of transferrable odor cue?

Greenbeard altruism




side-blotched lizard Uta stansburiana



Three color morphs represent three strategies Genetically determined, evolutionarily stable

Blue males (bb) more likely to settle near unrelated blue males

Orange (O) males attracted to bb dyads




bb dyads more similar at 9 microsatellite loci than other males in population



Usurping O males reduce fitness of bb dyads relative to loner bb; cost usually borne by one male

Greenbeard altruism

Alternates between altruism and mutualism depending on number of O males





Lifespan suggests no reciprocity