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## Solutions to PS \# 4

1. a. Use of the Lagrangian technique yields

$$
y /(x+1)=p_{x} / p_{y} \quad \text { or } \quad p_{y} y=p_{x} x+p_{x}
$$

Substitution into the budget constraint provides

$$
x=\frac{I-p_{x}}{2 p_{x}} \quad y=\frac{I+p_{x}}{2 p_{y}} .
$$

Hence, changes in $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ do not affect $x$, but changes in $p_{x}$ do affect $y$.
b. The indirect utility function is $V=\frac{\left(I+p_{x}\right)^{2}}{4 p_{x} p_{y}}$
and this yields an expenditure function of

$$
E=\sqrt{V 4 p_{x} p_{y}}-p_{x}
$$

c. Clearly the compensated demand function for $x$ depends on $p_{y}$, whereas the uncompensated function did not. By Shepherd's Lemma:

$$
x^{c}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial p_{x}}=V^{0.5} p_{x}^{-0.5} p_{y}^{0.5}-1
$$

2. In class we showed that if price rises from $p_{1}$ to $\alpha \mathrm{p}_{1}$ the resulting compensating variation is given by: $C V=p_{1} x_{1}\left(\alpha^{b+1}-1\right) /(b+1)$. Here are a few simulated values for the case $\alpha=1.1$ :

| $b$ | $C V\left(\right.$ proportion of $\left.p_{1} x_{1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.1 |
| -0.5 | 0.098 |
| -1.5 | 0.093 |
| -5 | 0.082 |
| -10 | 0.064 |

3. By definition $\alpha_{n}=\frac{-\Delta q}{\Delta p} \frac{p}{q}=\frac{q^{1}}{p^{*}-p^{1}} \cdot \frac{p^{1}}{q^{1}}$ so

$$
C S=.5 q^{1}\left(p^{*}-p^{1}\right)=\frac{.5}{\alpha_{n}} \cdot p^{1} q^{1} \text { as was to be shown. }
$$

