

## Math 28 Spring 2008: Exam 1

**Instructions:** Each problem is scored out of 10 points for a total of 50 points. You may not use any outside materials(eg. notes or calculators). You have 50 minutes to complete this exam.

**Problem 1.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be bounded above. Show that  $\sup(A) \in \overline{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be bounded above and let  $s = \sup(A)$  which exists by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then we need to see that  $s \in \overline{A} = A \cup L$  where  $L$  is the set of limit points of  $A$ . Since  $s$  can either be in  $A$  or not in  $A$ , we examine each case separately.

If  $s \in A$  then clearly  $s \in \overline{A}$ .

If  $s \notin A$ , then we will show that  $s$  is a limit point. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then by the characterization of supremum, there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $s - \epsilon < a$ . Since  $s \notin A$  we have  $a \neq s$  and hence  $V_\epsilon(s)$  intersects  $A$  in a point not  $s$ . Therefore,  $s$  is a limit point of  $A$  and hence  $s \in \overline{A}$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Let  $(a_n) \rightarrow a$  and  $(b_n) \rightarrow b$  be convergent sequences. Show directly that  $(a_n - b_n) \rightarrow a - b$ . (i.e. without using the Algebraic Limit theorem).

*Proof.* We know that  $(b_n) \rightarrow b$  and hence for all  $\epsilon > 0 \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$  we have  $|b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . So we also have that for all  $n \geq N_1$  we have

$$|-b_n - (-b)| = |b - b_n| = |b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Similarly, since  $(a_n) \rightarrow a$  (and the same  $\epsilon > 0$ ) there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N_2$$

Let  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ . Then for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_n - b_n) - (a - b)| &= |(a_n - a) - (b_n - b)| \\ &= |(a_n - a) + (b - b_n)| \\ &\leq |a_n - a| + |b - b_n| \quad (\text{Triangle Inequality}) \\ &= |a_n - a| + |b_n - b| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Problem 3.**

- State the definition of a compact set and the characterization of compact sets by the Heine-Borel Theorem.
- Show that the union of finitely many compact sets is compact.

*Proof.*

- (a) Compact sets are sets such that every sequence of elements contained in the set has a subsequence which converges to a limit which is in the set. The Heine-Borel Theorem states that a set is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.
- (b) Let  $K_i \subset \mathbb{R}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  be compact sets where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since a finite union of closed sets is closed, we have that  $K = \cup_{i=1}^n K_i$  is closed. Since  $K_i$  is compact for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  it is bounded, so there exists  $M_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|a| \leq M_i$  for all  $a \in K_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $M = \max(M_1, \dots, M_n)$ . The maximum of a finite set exists, so  $M$  exists. Consider  $a \in K$ . Then  $a \in K_i$  for some  $i$  and hence  $|a| \leq M_i \leq M$ . So  $K$  is bounded. Using the Heine-Borel theorem  $K$  is compact since it is closed and bounded.

Or using open covers: a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is compact if and only if every open cover has a finite subcover. Let  $\{O_j\}$  be an open cover of  $K = \cup_{i=1}^n K_i$ . Then there exists a finite collection  $\{O_{k_i}\}_{k_i=1}^{a_i}$  for each  $K_i$ . Hence the collection  $\cup_{i=1}^n \{O_{k_i}\}_{k_i=1}^{a_i}$  covers all of the  $K_i$  and hence covers  $K$ . Since the finite union of a finite number of objects is finite. This is a finite subcover. So, by the characterization of compact,  $K$  is compact.

□

**Problem 4.** Prove the  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent if and only if for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m+n}$  is convergent. Moreover,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges to  $a_1 + \dots + a_m + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+m}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $S_n$  be the  $n$ th partial sum of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and let  $T_n$  be the  $n$ th partial sum of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m+n}$ .

First showing  $(\Rightarrow)$ :  $\sum a_n$  converges if and only if  $(S_n)$  is Cauchy. So we have for all  $n, k \geq N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|S_n - S_k| = |a_{k+1} + \dots + a_n| < \epsilon$$

Note also that  $n + m, k + m$  satisfy  $n + m, k + m \geq N$  and hence

$$|T_n - T_k| = |a_{k+m+1} + \dots + a_{n+m}| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore  $(T_n)$  is Cauchy and hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m+n}$  converges.

$(\Leftarrow)$ : Conversely, if  $n + m, k + m \geq N$  implies

$$|T_n - T_k| = |a_{k+m+1} + \dots + a_{n+m}| < \epsilon$$

then  $n, k \geq N - m$  implies

$$|S_n - S_k| = |a_{k+1} + \dots + a_n| < \epsilon$$

and hence  $(S_n)$  is Cauchy. Note that we know  $N > m$  since  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For the value of convergence we simply look at the terms of the sequence.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+m} = a_1 + \dots + a_m + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n.$$

So if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+m} = A$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = B$ , then we have

$$A = a_1 + \dots + a_m + B.$$

□

**Problem 5.**

- (a) State the Monotone Converge Theorem.
- (b) Define  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_n = 3 - \frac{1}{a_{n-1}}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Determine the convergence or divergence of the sequence  $(a_n)$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) The Monotone Convergence theorem says that every bounded monotonic sequence is convergent.
- (b) We will show the sequence is increasing and bounded.

For increasing we proceed by induction. We have  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = 2$  and hence the base case  $a_2 > a_1$ . So assume  $a_n > a_{n-1}$  and hence  $\frac{1}{a_{n-1}} > \frac{1}{a_n}$  for all  $n \leq N$ . Then consider

$$a_{n+1} = 3 - \frac{1}{a_n} > 3 - \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} = a_n.$$

So the sequence is increasing and hence monotone.

For boundedness, we know that  $a_1 = 1$  and  $(a_n)$  is increasing and will show that  $1 \leq a_n \leq 3$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider

$$a_{n+1} = 3 - \frac{1}{a_n}$$

Since  $a_n$  is increasing and at least 1, the upper bound of 3 holds since we are always subtracting a positive quantity from 3. Since  $a_1 = 1$  and  $(a_n)$  is increasing, the lower bound holds.

□