

Joint work in progress with Yanyang Li and Jiang-Hua Lu.

Plan 0. Motivation

1. Construction of our integrable system via representation theory
2. Cluster algebraic reinterpretation

0. Motivation

T : torus $\overset{\text{Pois.}}{\curvearrowright}$ (Y, π) : Poisson variety.
 auto.

Def A T-leaf is a subvariety of Y of the form
 $\bigcup_{t \in T} t \cdot S,$

where $S \subseteq (Y, \pi)$ is a symplectic leaf.

Folklore (Bekhtman - Shapiro - Vainshtein) On the coordinate ring of each T-leaf, there exists a cluster algebra structure which is compatible with the T-action and the Poisson structure.

Compatibility, at least, means that one can find a local coordinate chart y_1, \dots, y_n (T-chart) on the T-leaf such that:

- Each y_i is a T-eigenfunction;
- $\{y_i, y_j\} = \omega_{ij} y_i y_j \exists \omega_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ (log-canonical).

Ex 1. Double Bruhat cell $\mathcal{G}^{u,v} := B_u B_n B_v B_-$.

2. Reduced double Bruhat cell $L^{u,v} := N_u N_n B_v B_- (\cong \mathcal{G}^{u,v}/T)$.

3. Bott-Samelson charts.

Rmk Most known examples of T-leaves that admits a compatible cluster algebra structure have to do with (\mathfrak{g}, π_{st}) . The Kirillov-Kostant Poisson structure rarely appears.

\mathfrak{g} : semisimple Lie algebra

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$: triangular decomposition

$\text{Lie } T = \mathfrak{g}$. T acts on \mathfrak{g}^* by the coadjoint action.

Fact (Kostant) The Poisson variety $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\text{KK}})$ contains an open dense T -leaf. Moreover, this open dense T -leaf can be explicitly described using the notion of "cascade of roots".

Natural Question Is there a cluster algebra structure on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]$ which is compatible with the T -action and the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson structure?

We have constructed an integrable system on $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\text{KK}})$, and the functions in our integrable system are T -eigenfunctions.

Hence, we have found "half of a T -chart".

1. Construction of our integrable system via representation theory

Inspired by a construction due to Kostant-Lipsman-Wolf.

λ : dominant integral weight $\rightsquigarrow V(\lambda)$: highest weight λ representation

$V(\lambda)^*$: dual representation

$w \in W = \text{Weyl group} \rightarrow v_{w,\lambda} \in V(\lambda)$: weight vector of weight $w.\lambda$

$z_{-\lambda} \in V(\lambda)^*$: lowest weight vector
dual to $v_{\lambda} \in V(\lambda)$

$\rightarrow f_{w,\lambda}: \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \alpha \mapsto \langle z_{-\lambda}, \alpha \cdot v_{w,\lambda} \rangle.$

Def Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $g \in (\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g})^*$ a linear functional on $\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g}$.
The codegree of g is the minimal integer c such that

$$g|_{\mathfrak{U}^c \mathfrak{g}} \neq 0.$$

$cd_{w,\lambda} := \text{codegree of } f_{w,\lambda}.$

Def The inversion set $N(w)$ of w consists of those positive roots α such that $w^{-1}.\alpha$ is a negative root.

The Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{n}_w of \mathfrak{n} is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{a}_w := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in N(w)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Consider: $\mathcal{U}^{\leq cd_{w,\lambda}}(\mathfrak{a}_w) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{a}_w) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{f_{w,\lambda}} \mathbb{C}$.

$\uparrow \text{Sym}$
 $\text{Sym}^{cd_{w,\lambda}}(\mathfrak{a}_w) \xrightarrow{f_{w,\lambda}} \mathbb{C}$

Get $f_{(w,\lambda)} \in \text{Sym}^{cd_{w,\lambda}}(\mathfrak{a}_w)^* \cong \text{Sym}^{cd_{w,\lambda}}(\mathfrak{a}_w^*) \cong \{ \text{homogeneous polynomials on } \mathfrak{a}_w \text{ of degree } cd_{w,\lambda} \} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}_w] \xrightarrow[\text{form}]{\text{Killing}} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}_w^*, -].$

We apply this construction to the following situation.

$w_0 \in W$: longest element.

$\underline{w_0} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_N}$: reduced word.

$\forall j \in [1, N]$, put $w_j := s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_j}$, $cd_j := cd_{w_j, w_j}$.

Def $\forall j \in [1, N]$, define a linear functional

$$f_j := f_{w_j, \omega_{ij}} : \mathcal{U}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

and a polynomial

$$f_{(j)} := f_{(w_j, \omega_{ij})} \in \mathbb{C}[a_{-}^*].$$

Rmk We can regard $f_{(j)}$ as a polynomial on a_{-}^* , homogeneous of degree cd_j .

Thm Any maximal algebraically independent subset of $\{f_{(1)}, \dots, f_{(n)}\}$

is an integrable system on (a_{-}^*, π_{KK}) .

Ex A_5 . $w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1$.

Compute f_1 . $V(w_{i1}) = \mathbb{C}^6 \ni v_{w_1, w_{i1}} = e_2$
 $V(w_{i1})^* = \mathbb{C}^6 \ni z_{-w_{i1}} = e_1$ $\leadsto f_1 : \mathcal{U}sl_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, x \mapsto \langle e_1, x.e_2 \rangle$.

$\leadsto cd_1 = 1$ because $f_1(x) = 1$ for $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \leadsto f_{w_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * & * & * \\ & 0 & * & * & * \\ & & 0 & * & * \\ & & & 0 & * \\ & & & & 0 & * \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Our integrable system in this case looks like:



2. Cluster algebraic reinterpretation

Bott-Samelson coordinates:

$$\mathbb{C}^N \xrightarrow{\cong} Bw_0B/B, (x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto \exp(x_1 e_{i_1}) \bar{s}_{i_1} \dots \exp(x_N e_{i_N}) \bar{s}_{i_N} B/B.$$

Prop • $(Bw_0B/B, \pi_{st})$ contains an open dense T-leaf.

• $\mathbb{C}[Bw_0B/B] \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ has the structure of a Symmetric Poisson

CBL extension, hence has a cluster algebra structure. (Gondefoul-Yakimov)

The homogeneous Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ is given by

• The nonhomogeneous Poisson primes in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ play a crucial role in the construction of the cluster algebra structure.

Up to multiplication by nonzero scalars, the homogeneous Poisson primes are, for $j \in [1, N]$,

$$y_j := \Delta_{\omega_{ij}, \omega_{ij}} (\exp(\alpha_i e_{ii}) \bar{s}_i \cdots \exp(\alpha_j e_{ij}) \bar{s}_{ij}).$$

Def For $j \in [1, N]$, define y_j^{low} to be the lowest degree terms of the polynomial y_j .

$$\lambda_j := s_i \cdots s_{i_{j-1}}(\alpha_{ij}) : \text{positive root.}$$

$$\mathbb{C}^N \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{a}, (x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto x_1 e_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + x_N e_{\lambda_N} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}_-^*] \underset{\text{form}}{\cong}^{\text{Killing}} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}] \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$$

$\rightsquigarrow f_{(1)}, \dots, f_{(N)} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N].$

Thm For $j \in [1, N]$, we have $y_j^{\text{low}} = f_{(j)}$.

Why Poisson commute?

$\pi_{i+} = \pi_{i-} + \text{higher order terms}$

Log-canonical: $\{y_i, y_j\}_{st} = \omega_{ij} y_i y_j$.

Lowest degree term of LHS: $\{y_i^{\text{low}}, y_j^{\text{low}}\}_{KK}$ (degree = $\deg y_i^{\text{low}} + \deg y_j^{\text{low}} - 1$)

Lowest degree term of RHS: $\omega_{ij} y_i^{\text{low}} y_j^{\text{low}}$ (degree = $\deg y_i^{\text{low}} + \deg y_j^{\text{low}}$)

$$\rightarrow \{y_i^{\text{low}}, y_j^{\text{low}}\}_{KK} = 0.$$

3. Generalization

A similar construction gives rise to an integrable system on $(\mathcal{A}_{W,-}^*, \pi_{KK})$.

The T-Poisson Pfaffian Pf_W of $(B_W B/B, \pi_{st})$ plays a key role in this construction.

By definition, Pf_W is a section of the top exterior power of the tangent bundle of $B_W B/B$, so $\text{Pf}_W = f_W \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l(W)}}$ for some polynomial f_W in the Bott-Samelson coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{l(W)}$.

Let f_W^{low} be the lowest degree term of f_W and d_W the degree of f_W^{low} .

A consequence of our construction is:

Cor Let $2r_w$ be the maximum of the dimension of symplectic leaves in $(\mathfrak{a}_w^*, -, \pi_{KK})$. Then we have

$$r_w = l(w) - d_w.$$

In other words, the index of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_w (equivalently, $\mathfrak{a}_{w,-}$) is $2d_w - l(w)$.